

Therapeutic Class Overview Sedative Hypnotics

Therapeutic Class

- Overview/Summary:** Insomnia is a disorder that results from a difficulty in initiating or maintaining sleep, waking too early, or sleep that is considered nonrestorative or poor quality.¹⁻³ Furthermore, individuals with insomnia must also report at least one of the following types of daytime impairment as a result of the difficulties experienced with sleep: fatigue/malaise; impairment in memory, attention, or concentration; social or work-related dysfunction; poor school performance; irritability; day time sleepiness; loss of motivation, energy, or initiative; increased tendency for work or driving related accidents/errors; tension headaches; gastrointestinal symptoms; or concerns/worries about sleep. In individuals with insomnia, these complaints occur despite having sufficient opportunity and circumstances for sleep.^{1,2}

There are several classes of medications available for the management of insomnia including, tricyclic antidepressants, melatonin receptor agonists, benzodiazepines and nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics.⁴⁻²⁰ Doxepin (Silenor[®]) is a tricyclic antidepressant that is Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep maintenance.⁷ Ramelteon (Rozerem[®]) is a melatonin agonist that binds to melatonin receptors with a much higher affinity compared to melatonin.⁸ Benzodiazepines relieve insomnia by reducing sleep latency and increasing total sleep time. Benzodiazepines increase stage two sleep while decreasing rapid eye movement sleep, stage three and stage four sleep.⁵ The benzodiazepines bind to γ -aminobutyric acid subtype A (GABA_A) receptors in the brain, thereby stimulating GABAergic transmission and hyperpolarization of neuronal membranes.⁵ The benzodiazepines primarily differ in their duration of action. Triazolam (Halcion[®]) has a short duration of action, while estazolam (ProSom[®]) and temazepam (Restoril[®]) are intermediate-acting agents. Flurazepam (Dalmene[®]) and quazepam (Doral[®]) are generally considered long-acting benzodiazepines.⁹⁻¹³ The nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics are structurally different from the benzodiazepines resulting in more specific activity at the GABA_A receptor. As a result, the nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics are associated with less anxiolytic and anticonvulsant activity compared to the benzodiazepines.⁴ Zaleplon (Sonata[®]) has a duration of approximately one hour, and thus is an effective treatment for patients with difficulty falling asleep.¹⁴ Zolpidem has a duration of less than two and a half hours and may also be useful for patients with difficulties initiating sleep. Zolpidem is available in as an immediate-release tablet (Ambien[®]), oral spray (Zolpimist[®]), sublingual tablet (Edluar[®] and Intermezzo[®]) and extended-release tablet (Ambien CR[®]). The sublingual tablet (Intermezzo[®]) is the only zolpidem formulation that is approved for the treatment of insomnia due to middle-of-the-night awakenings.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Of the nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics, eszopiclone (Lunesta[®]) has the longest half-life (approximately five to seven hours); therefore it is effective in treating sleep onset insomnia and sleep maintenance insomnia.²⁰ Currently, estazolam, flurazepam, temazepam, triazolam, zaleplon and zolpidem (immediate-release and extended-release tablets) are available generically.⁶

Table 1. Current Medications Available in the Therapeutic Class⁷⁻²¹

Generic (Trade Name)	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/Strength	Generic Availability
Doxepin (Silenor [®])	Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep maintenance	Tablet: 3 mg 6 mg	-
Estazolam (ProSom [®])	Short-term treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning awakenings	Tablet: 1 mg 2 mg	a
Eszopiclone (Lunesta [®])	Treatment of insomnia	Tablet: 1 mg 2 mg 3 mg	-

Generic (Trade Name)	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/Strength	Generic Availability
Flurazepam (Dalmane [®])	Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning awakenings	Capsule: 15 mg 30 mg	a
Quazepam (Doral [®])	Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning awakenings	Tablet: 15 mg	-
Ramelteon (Rozerem [®])	Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty with sleep onset	Tablet: 8 mg	-
Temazepam (Restoril [®])	Short-term treatment of insomnia	Capsule: 7.5 mg 15 mg 22.5 mg 30 mg	a
Triazolam (Halcion [®])	Short-term treatment of insomnia	Tablet: 0.125 mg 0.25 mg	a
Zaleplon (Sonata [®])	Short-term treatment of insomnia	Capsule: 5 mg 10 mg	a
Zolpidem (Ambien [®] , Ambien CR [®] , Edluar [®] , Intermezzo [®] , Zolpimist [®])	Short-term treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep initiation [†] , treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep onset and/or sleep maintenance [‡] , treatment of insomnia when a middle-of-the-night awakening is followed by difficulty returning to sleep [§]	Extended-release tablet: 6.25 mg 12.5 mg Immediate-release tablet: 5mg 10 mg Sublingual tablet: 5 mg* 10 mg* 1.75 mg [†] 3.5 mg [†] Oral mist: 5 mg/ actuation	a

*Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.

†Ambien[®] (zolpidem), Edluar[®] (zolpidem sublingual), and Zolpimist[®] (zolpidem oral mist).

‡Intermezzo[®] (zolpidem sublingual).

§ Ambien CR[®] (zolpidem extended-release).

Evidence-based Medicine

- The result of clinical studies consistently demonstrate that the sedative hypnotics are more effective compared to placebo in patients experiencing insomnia.²²⁻⁷²
- The result of several meta-analyses have demonstrated that the benzodiazepine significantly improve sleep latency and total sleep time in patients with insomnia.⁷⁰⁻⁷³
- Some studies indicate that zaleplon may result in less residual effects and rebound insomnia when compared to zolpidem.^{56,58}
- Several agents have demonstrated efficacy in the presence of various comorbidities or specific subpopulations. Eszopiclone and ramelteon have been found to be beneficial across multiple symptoms, including sleep disturbances, mood disturbances, anxiety and hot flashes in peri- and

postmenopausal women.^{52,33} Eszopiclone has also been found to improve sleep-related symptoms in patients with depression, Parkinson disease, and post-traumatic stress disorder.^{27,30,31} Ramelteon has demonstrated efficacy in patients with comorbid generalized anxiety disorder and also in patients with substance abuse.^{38,54} Zolpidem extended-release has demonstrated efficacy, when coadministered with escitalopram, in patients with both major depressive disorder as well as generalized anxiety disorder.^{62,63} Zolpidem and Zaleplon have both been demonstrated safety and efficacy in patients with nonpsychotic psychiatric disorders.⁵⁹ Efficacy has also been established in populations of elderly patients. Doxepin has demonstrated safety and efficacy in elderly patients through 12 weeks, without causing residual sedation or increasing the risk of complex sleep behaviors.^{22,26} Escitalopram has demonstrated safety and efficacy over two weeks in elderly patients and ramelteon over five weeks.^{34,47}

- Furthermore, efficacy of the non-benzodiazepine hypnotics has been demonstrated to be sustained for up to one year. Escitalopram and zolpidem extended-release have demonstrated sustained efficacy through six months while ramelteon and zolpidem immediate-release have demonstrated sustained efficacy over the course of a year.^{28,35,36,53,61,67}

Key Points within the Medication Class

- According to Current Clinical Guidelines:
 - Guidelines do not recommend one sedative hypnotic over another.¹
 - All agents have been shown to result in positive effects on sleep latency, total sleep time and wake time after sleep onset. Selection of an agent should take into consideration the patient's specific symptom pattern, patient preferences, any comorbid disease states and concurrent medications, as well as the individual side effect profile for each option. Zaleplon and ramelteon have short half-lives, work well to reduce sleep latency and are unlikely to result in residual sedation; however, they have little effect on waking after sleep onset.¹
 - Eszopiclone and temazepam have longer half-lives, are more likely to improve sleep maintenance, and are more likely to produce residual sedation.¹
 - Triazolam has been associated with rebound anxiety and is not considered a first-line treatment.¹
 - The use of doxepin for insomnia in the absence of co-morbid depression is not addressed in clinical guidelines, as the low-dose formulation was not available when these guidelines were published.¹
 - Depending on the patient's specific complaint of sleep initiation or sleep maintenance, consideration should be given to the pharmacokinetic parameters of the available hypnotics. Agents with a longer half-life may be preferred in those with sleep maintenance issues, while agents with a shorter time to maximum concentration may be preferred in patients with sleep initiation complaints. If a patient does not respond to the initial agent, a different agent within the same class is appropriate after evaluating the patient's response to the first agent.¹

Other Key Facts:

- Currently, estazolam, flurazepam, temazepam, triazolam, zaleplon and zolpidem (immediate-release and extended-release tablets) are available generically.⁶
- Neither doxepin nor ramelteon are available generically; however, doxepin is available generically in higher doses that are approved for the treatment of depression and anxiety.⁶

References

1. Schutte-Rodin S, Broch L, Buysse D, et al. Clinical guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic insomnia in adults. American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM). *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2008;4:487-504.
2. Bonnet MH, Arand DL. Overview of insomnia. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2012 [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://www.uptodate.com/utd/index.do>.
3. NIH state-of-the-science conference statement on manifestations and management of chronic insomnia in adults. [internet] NIH Consens Sci Statements. 2005 [cited 2012 Sep 2] Jun 13-15; 22(2) 1-30.
4. Bonnet MH, Arand DL. Treatment of insomnia. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2012 [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://www.uptodate.com/utd/index.do>.
5. Dopp JM. Chapter 81. Sleep Disorders. In: Talbert RL, DiPiro JT, Matzke GR, Posey LM, Wells BG, Yee GC, eds. *Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic Approach*. 8th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2011. <http://www.accesspharmacy.com.ezproxy.mcphs.edu/content.aspx?alD=7990389>. Accessed September 3, 2012.

6. DRUGS@FDA.com [database on the internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm>.
7. Silenor[®] [package insert]. San Diego (CA): Somaxon Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2010 Mar.
8. Rozerem[®] [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Takeda Pharmaceuticals America Inc.; 2010 Nov.
9. Prosom[®] [package insert]. North Chicago (IL): Abbott Laboratories; 2006 Jun.
10. Flurazepam[®] [package insert]. Eatontown (NJ): West-ward Pharmaceutical Corp.; 2010 Dec.
11. Doral[®] [package insert]. Somerset (NJ): Questcor Pharmaceuticals; 2011 Aug.
12. Temazepam[®] [package insert]. Princeton (NJ): Sandoz Inc.; 2010 Aug.
13. Triazolam[®] [package insert]. Peapack (NJ): Greenstone LLC; 2008 Mar.
14. Sonata[®] [package insert]. Bristol (TN): King Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2011 Aug.
15. Ambien[®] [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Sanofi-Aventis U.S LLC; 2012 May.
16. Ambien CR[®] [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Sanofi-Aventis U.S LLC; 2012 May.
17. Edluar[®] [package insert]. Somerset (NJ): Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc; 2010 Oct.
18. Intermezzo[®] [package insert]. Stamford (CT): Purdue Pharma L.P.; 2012 Jul.
19. Zolpimist[®] [package insert]. Richmond (VA): ECR Pharmaceuticals; 2010 Sep.
20. Lunesta[®] [package insert]. Marlborough (MA): Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2010 Nov.
21. Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2012 [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://online.factsandcomparisons.com>.
22. Lankford A, Rogowski R, Essink B, Ludington E, Durrence HH, Roth T. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 6 mg in a four-week outpatient trial of elderly adults with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2012;13:133-8.
23. Scharf M, Rogowski R, Hull S, Cohn M, Mayleben D, Feldman N, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 1, 3, and 6 mg in elderly patients with primary insomnia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2008;69:1157-64.
24. Krystal AD, Lankford A, Durrence HH, Ludington E, Jochelson P, Rogowski R, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 3 and 6 mg in a 35-day sleep laboratory trial in adults with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2011;34(10):1433-42.
25. Roth T, Durrence H, Jochelson P, Peterson G, Ludington E, Rogowski R, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 6 mg in a model of transient insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2010;11:843-7.
26. Krystal AD, Durrence HH, Scharf M, Jachelson P, Rogowski R, Ludington E, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 1 and 3 mg in a 12-week sleep laboratory and outpatient trial of elderly subjects with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2010;33(11):1553-61.
27. McCall WV, Blocker JN, D'Agostino R, Kimball J, Boggs N, Lasater B, et al. Treatment of insomnia in depressed insomniacs: effects on health-related quality of life, objective and self-reported sleep, and depression. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2010;6(4):322-9.
28. Zammit G, McNabb L, Caron J, Amato D, Roth T. Efficacy and safety of eszopiclone across six weeks of treatment for primary insomnia. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2004 Dec;20(12):1979-91.
29. Ancoli-Israel S, Krystal AD, McCall WV, et al. A 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluating the effect of eszopiclone 2 mg on sleep/wake function in older adults with primary and comorbid insomnia. *Sleep*. 2010;33:225-34.
30. Menza M, Dobkin RD, Marin H, et al. Treatment of insomnia in Parkinson's disease: a controlled trial of eszopiclone and placebo. *Mov Disord*. 2010;25:1708-14.
31. Pollack MH, Hoge EA, Worthington JJ, et al. Eszopiclone for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder and associated insomnia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72:892-7.
32. Erman MK, Zammit G, Rubens R, et al. A polysomnographic placebo-controlled evaluation of the efficacy and safety of eszopiclone relative to placebo and zolpidem in the treatment of primary insomnia. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2008;4:229-34.
33. Joffe H, Petrillo L, Viguera A, et al. Eszopiclone improves insomnia and depressive and anxious symptoms in perimenopausal and postmenopausal women with hot flashes: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled crossover trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;202:171.e1-11.
34. Scharf M, Erman M, Rosengerg R, Seiden D, McCall WV, Amato D, Wessel TC. A two-week efficacy and safety study of eszopiclone in elderly patients with primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2005;28(6):720-7.
35. Krystal AD, Walsh JK, Laska E, Caron J, Amato DA, Wessel TC, Roth T. Sustained efficacy of eszopiclone over six months of nightly treatment: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in adults with chronic insomnia. *Sleep*. 2003 Nov 1;26(7):793-9.
36. Walsh JK, Krystal AD, Amato DA, Rubens R, Caron J, Wessel TC, Schaefer K, Roach J, Wallenstein F, Roth T. Nightly treatment of primary insomnia with eszopiclone for six months: effect on sleep, quality of life, and work limitations. *Sleep*. 2007;30(8):959-68.
37. Rosenberg R, Caron J, Roth T, Amato D. An assessment of the efficacy and safety of eszopiclone in the treatment of transient insomnia in healthy adults. *Sleep Med*. 2005;6:15-22.
38. Johnson MW, Suess PE, Griffiths RR. Ramelteon: a novel hypnotic lacking abuse liability and sedative adverse effects. *Arch Gen Psych*. 2006 Oct;63:1149-57.
39. Roth T, Stubbs C, Walsh JK. Ramelteon (TAK-375), a selective MT1/MT2-receptor agonist, reduces latency to persistent sleep in a model of transient insomnia related to a novel sleep environment. *Sleep*. 2005;28(3):303-7.
40. Mayer G, Wang-Weigand S, Roth-Schechter B, et al. Efficacy and safety of six-month nightly ramelteon administration in adults with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2009;32:351-60.
41. Uchiyama M, Hamamura M, Kuwano T, et al. Evaluation of subjective efficacy and safety of ramelteon in Japanese subjects with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2011;12:119-26.
42. Uchiyama M, Hamamura M, Kuwano T, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of ramelteon in Japanese patients with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2011;12:127-33.
43. Gooneratne NS, Gehrman P, Gurubhagavatula I, et al. Effectiveness of ramelteon for insomnia symptoms in older adults with obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized placebo-controlled pilot study. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2010;6:572-80.

44. Uchimura N, Ogawa A, Hamamura M, Hashimoto T, Nagata H, Uchiyama M. Efficacy and safety of ramelteon in Japanese adults with chronic insomnia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study [abstract]. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2011;11(2):215-24.
45. Kohsaka M, Kanemura T, Taniguchi M, Kuwahara H, Mikami A, Kamikawa K, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of ramelteon in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study in Japanese patients with chronic primary insomnia [abstract]. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2011;11(10):1389-97.
46. Wang-Weigand S, Watissee M, Roth T. Use of a post-sleep questionnaire-interactive voice response system (PSQ-IVRS) to evaluate the subjective sleep effects of ramelteon in adults with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2011;12:920-3.
47. Roth T, Seiden D, Sainati S, Wang-Weigand S, Zhang J, Zee P. Effects of ramelteon on patient-reported sleep latency in older adults with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2006 Jun;7(4):312-8.
48. Erman M, Seiden D, Zammit G, Sainati S, Zhang J. An efficacy, safety, and dose response study of ramelteon in patients with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2006;7:17-24.
49. Wang-Weigand S, McCue M, Ogrinc F, Mini L. Effects of ramelteon 8 mg on objective sleep latency in adults with chronic insomnia on nights 1 and 2: pooled analysis. *Curr Med Res and Opin*. 2009;25(5):1209-13.
50. Zammit G, Schwartz H, Roth T, Wang-Weigand S, Sainati S, Zhang J. The effects of ramelteon in a first-night model of transient insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2009;10:55-9.
51. Zammit G, Wang-Weigand S, Rosenthal M, Peng X. Effect of ramelteon on middle of the night balance in older adults with chronic insomnia. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2009;5(1):34-40.
52. Dobkin RD, Menza M, Bienfait KL, Allen LA, Marin H, Gara MA. Ramelteon for the treatment of insomnia in menopausal women. *Menopause Int*. 2009;15(1):13-8.
53. Richardson GS, Zammit G, Wang-Weigand S, Zhang J. Safety and subjective sleep effects of ramelteon administration in adults and older adults with chronic primary insomnia: a one-year, open-label study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2009;70(4):467-76.
54. Gross PK, Nourse R, Wasser TE. Ramelteon for insomnia symptoms in a community sample of adults with generalized anxiety disorder: an open label study. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2009;5(1):28-33.
55. Huang YS, Hsu SC, Liu SI, et al. A double-blind, randomized, comparative study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of zaleplon vs zolpidem in shortening sleep latency in primary insomnia. *Chang Gung Med J*. 2011;34:50-6.
56. Danjou P, Paty I, Fruncillo R, Worthington P, Unruh M, Cevallos W, Martin P. A comparison of the residual effects of zaleplon and zolpidem following administration five to two hours before awakening. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 1999;48:367-74.
57. Verster JC, Volkerts ER, Schreuder AHCM, Eijken EJE, van Heuckelum JHG, Veldhuijzen DS, et al. Residual effects of middle-of-the-night administration of zaleplon and zolpidem on driving ability, memory functions, and psychomotor performance. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2002 Dec;22(6):576-83.
58. Dundar Y, Dodd S, Strobl J, Boland A, Dickson R, Walley T. Comparative efficacy of newer hypnotic drugs for the short-term management of insomnia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp*. 2004;19:305-22.
59. Elie R, Ruther E, Farr I, Emilien G, Salinas E. Sleep latency is shortened during four weeks of treatment with zaleplon, a novel nonbenzodiazepine hypnotic. Zaleplon Clinical Study Group. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1999 Aug;60(8):536-44.
60. Hindmarch I, Legagneux E, Stanley N, Emegbo S, Dawson J. A double-blind, placebo-controlled investigation of the residual psychomotor and cognitive effects of zolpidem-MR in healthy elderly volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(5):538-45.
61. Krystal AD, Erman M, Zammit GK, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of zolpidem extended-release 12.5 mg, administered three to seven nights per week for 24 weeks, in patients with chronic primary insomnia: a six-month, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicenter study. *Sleep*. 2008;31:79-90.
62. Fava M, Asnis GM, Shrivastava RK, Lydiard B, Bastani B, Sheehan DV, et al. Improved insomnia symptoms and sleep-related next-day functioning in patients with comorbid major depressive disorder and insomnia following concomitant zolpidem extended-release 12.5 mg and escitalopram treatment: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72(7):914-28.
63. Fava M, Asnis GM, Shrivastava R, Lydiard B, Bastani B, Sheehan D, et al. Zolpidem extended-release improves sleep and next-day symptoms in comorbid insomnia and generalized anxiety disorder. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2009;29:222-30.
64. Erman M, Guiraud A, Joish VN, Lerner D. Zolpidem extended-release 12.5 mg associated with improvements in work performance in a six month randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Sleep*. 2008;31(10):1371-8.
65. Roth T, Hull SG, Lankford A, Rosenberg R, Scharf MB. Low-dose sublingual zolpidem tartrate is associated with dose-related improvement in sleep onset and duration in insomnia characterized by middle of the night awakenings. *Sleep*. 2008;31(9):1277-84.
66. Staner L, Eriksson M, Cornette F, et al. Sublingual zolpidem is more effective than oral zolpidem in initiating early onset of sleep in the post-nap model of transient insomnia: a polysomnographic study. *Sleep Med*. 2009;10:616-20.
67. Roehrs TA, Randall S, Harris E, et al. Twelve months of nightly zolpidem does not lead to dose escalation: a prospective placebo-controlled study. *Sleep*. 2011;34:207-12.
68. Roth T, Roehrs T, Vogel G. Zolpidem in the treatment of transient insomnia: a double-blind, randomized comparison with placebo. *Sleep*. 1995 May;18(4):246-51.
69. Scharf M, Roth T, Vogel G, Walsh J. A multicenter, placebo-controlled study evaluating zolpidem in the treatment of chronic insomnia. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1994 May;55(5):192-9.
70. Smith M, Perlis M, Park A, Smith M, Pennington J, Giles D, et al. Comparative meta-analysis of pharmacotherapy and behavior therapy for persistent insomnia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2002 Jan;159(1):5-11.
71. Nowell PD, Mazumdar S, Buysse DJ, Dew MA, Reynolds CF, Kupfer DJ. Benzodiazepines and zolpidem for chronic insomnia. A meta-analysis of treatment efficacy. *JAMA*. 1997 Dec 24/31;278(24):2170-7.
72. Buscemi N, Vandermeer B, Friesen C, Bialy L, Tubman M, Ospina M, Klassen TP, Witmans M. The efficacy and safety of drug treatments for chronic insomnia in adults: a meta-analysis of RCTs. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007 Sep;22(9):1335-50.
73. Holbrook A, Crowther R, Lotter A, Cheng C, King D. Meta-analysis of benzodiazepine use in the treatment of insomnia. *CMAJ*. 2000 Jan 25;162(2):225-33.

Therapeutic Class Review **Sedative Hypnotics**

Overview/Summary

Insomnia is the most common sleep disorder in adulthood, affecting 33 to 69% of the population. It is estimated that five to ten percent of adults experience specific insomnia disorders.^{1,2} Insomnia is a disorder that results from a difficulty in initiating or maintaining sleep, waking too early, or sleep that is considered nonrestorative or poor quality.¹⁻³ Furthermore, individuals with insomnia must also report at least one of the following types of daytime impairment as a result of the difficulties experienced with sleep: fatigue/malaise; impairment in memory, attention, or concentration; social or work-related dysfunction; poor school performance; irritability; day time sleepiness; loss of motivation, energy, or initiative; increased tendency for work or driving related accidents/errors; tension headaches; gastrointestinal symptoms; or concerns/worries about sleep. In individuals with insomnia, these complaints occur despite having sufficient opportunity and circumstances for sleep.^{1,2} According to the International Classification of Sleep Disorders, insomnia may be classified as one of the following: acute or short-term; a result of inadequate sleep hygiene; primary (also known as psychophysiological or chronic insomnia); idiopathic; paradoxical; associated with a medical condition, psychiatric disorder, neurologic disease, sleep disorder, medication, or drug use; or unspecified.²

There are several classes of medications available for the management of insomnia.⁴⁻⁶ Doxepin (Silenor[®]) is a tricyclic antidepressant that is Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep maintenance. The exact mechanism by which doxepin exerts its therapeutic effect on insomnia has not been elucidated; however, it is most likely due to antagonism of the histamine-1 receptor.⁷ Ramelteon (Rozerem[®]) is a melatonin agonist that binds to melatonin receptors with much higher affinity compared to melatonin. Neither doxepin nor ramelteon are available generically; however, doxepin is available generically in higher doses that are approved for the treatment of depression and anxiety.⁶

Benzodiazepines have been a mainstay of pharmacological treatment for anxiety disorders and insomnia since they were first introduced in the 1960s. Benzodiazepines relieve insomnia by reducing sleep latency and increasing total sleep time. Benzodiazepines increase stage two sleep while decreasing rapid eye movement sleep, stage three and stage four sleep.⁵ The benzodiazepines bind to γ -aminobutyric acid subtype A (GABA_A) receptors in the brain, thereby stimulating GABAergic transmission and hyperpolarization of neuronal membranes.⁵ The benzodiazepines primarily differ in their duration of action. Triazolam (Halcion[®]) has a short duration of action, while estazolam (ProSom[®]) and temazepam (Restoril[®]) are intermediate-acting agents. Flurazepam (Dalmane[®]) and quazepam (Doral[®]) are generally considered long-acting benzodiazepines.⁹⁻¹³ All of the benzodiazepines are available generically with the exception of quazepam.

The nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics are structurally distinct from the benzodiazepines resulting in more specific activity at the GABA_A receptor. As a result, the nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics are associated with less anxiolytic and anticonvulsant activity compared to the benzodiazepines.⁴ Zaleplon (Sonata[®]) has a duration of approximately one hour, and thus is an effective treatment for patients with difficulty falling asleep.¹⁴ Zolpidem has a duration of less than two and a half hours and may also be useful for patients with difficulties initiating sleep. Zolpidem is available in as an immediate-release tablet (Ambien[®]), oral spray (Zolpimist[®]), sublingual tablet (Edluar[®] and Intermezzo[®]) and extended-release tablet (Ambien CR[®]). The sublingual tablet (Intermezzo[®]) is the only zolpidem formulation that is approved for the treatment of insomnia due to middle-of-the-night awakenings.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Of the nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics, eszopiclone (Lunesta[®]) has the longest half-life (approximately five to seven hours); therefore it is effective in treating sleep onset insomnia and sleep maintenance insomnia.²⁰ Currently zaleplon and zolpidem (immediate release and extended release tablets) are available generically.

Current treatment for insomnia includes behavioral therapy as well as various pharmacologic interventions. The FDA-approved treatments include various benzodiazepine receptor agonists, a low-dose sedating antidepressant, and a melatonin receptor agonist. Goals of therapy may include improving sleep quality, improving sleep time and various sleep symptoms, as well as improving insomnia-related next-day complaints.^{1,3}

Medications

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review⁷⁻²⁰

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Doxepin (Silenor [®])	Tricyclic antidepressant	-
Estazolam (ProSom [®])	Benzodiazepine	a
Eszopiclone (Lunesta [®])	Nonbarbiturate hypnotic	-
Flurazepam (Dalmane [®])	Benzodiazepine	a
Quazepam (Doral [®])	Benzodiazepine	-
Ramelteon (Rozerem [®])	Melatonin receptor agonist	-
Temazepam (Restoril [®])	Benzodiazepine	a
Triazolam (Halcion [®])	Benzodiazepine	a
Zaleplon (Sonata [®])	Nonbarbiturate Hypnotic	a
Zolpidem (Ambien [®] , Ambien CR [®] , Edluar [®] , Intermezzo [®] , Zolpimist [®])	Nonbarbiturate Hypnotic	a

*Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.

Indications

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications⁷⁻²¹

Indication	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
Short-term treatment of insomnia							a	a	a	
Short-term treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep initiation										a*
Short-term treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning awakenings		a								
Treatment of insomnia			a							
Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep maintenance	a									
Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep onset and/or sleep maintenance										a†
Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty with sleep onset						a				
Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning awakenings				a	a					
Treatment of insomnia when a middle-of-the-night awakening is followed by difficulty returning to sleep										a‡

* Ambien® (zolpidem), Edluar® (zolpidem sublingual), and Zolpimist® (zolpidem oral mist).

† Ambien CR® (zolpidem extended-release).

‡ Intermezzo® (zolpidem sublingual).

Pharmacokinetics**Table 3. Pharmacokinetics**⁷⁻²¹

Generic Name	Bioavailability (%)	Absorption (%)	Renal Excretion (%)	Active Metabolites	Serum Half-Life (hours)
Doxepin	Not reported	Not reported	<3	N-des-methyldoxepin	15.3
Estazolam	Not reported	Not reported	<5	Not reported	10 to 24
Eszopiclone	>75*	Not reported	<10	(S)-N-des-methylzopiclone	5 to 6
Flurazepam	Not reported	Not reported	22 to 55	N-1-hydroxy-ethylflurazepam, N-1-des-alkylflurazepam	2.3
Quazepam	Not reported	Not reported	31	2-oxoquazepam	25 to 41
Ramelteon	1.8	Not reported	84	M-II	1.0 to 2.6
Temazepam	Not reported	Not reported	80 to 90	None	3.5 to 18.4
Triazolam	Not reported	Not reported	80	alpha-hydroxytriazolam	2.3
Zolpidem	70†	Not reported	<1	None	2.8 (CR) 2.5 to 2.6 (IR) 2.50 to 2.85 (SL) 2.7 to 3.0‡
Zaleplon	30	Not reported	71	None	1

CR=controlled-release, IR=immediate-release, SL=sublingual tablets

*Bioavailability of racemic zopiclone.

†Immediate-release tablets.

‡ Oral spray.

Clinical Trials

Clinical trials demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the insomnia agents in their respective Food and Drug Administration-approved indications are outlined in Table 4.²⁰⁻⁷⁵

In general, data consistently demonstrates the superiority of these agents, when compared to placebo, for patients experiencing insomnia.^{22-66,68-70,72-74} The result of multiple meta-analyses have demonstrated that the benzodiazepine significantly improve sleep latency and total sleep time in patients with insomnia.⁶⁹⁻⁷² Studies suggest that the comparative efficacy of the agents included within this review may vary, with no consistently superior intervention identified.^{32,55} However, some studies indicate that zaleplon may result in less residual effects and rebound insomnia when compared to zolpidem.^{56,58}

Several agents included in this review have demonstrated efficacy in the presence of various comorbidities or specific subpopulations. Eszopiclone and ramelteon have been found to be beneficial across multiple symptoms, including sleep disturbances, mood disturbances, anxiety and hot flashes in peri- and postmenopausal women.^{52,33} Eszopiclone has also been found to improve sleep-related symptoms in patients with depression, Parkinson disease, and post-traumatic stress disorder.^{27,30,31} Ramelteon has demonstrated efficacy in patients with comorbid generalized anxiety disorder and also in patients with substance abuse.^{38,54} Zolpidem extended-release has demonstrated efficacy, when coadministered with escitalopram, in patients with both major depressive disorder as well as generalized anxiety disorder.^{62,63} Zolpidem and Zaleplon have both demonstrated safety and efficacy in patients with nonpsychotic psychiatric disorders.⁵⁹ Efficacy has also been established in populations of elderly patients. Doxepin has demonstrated safety and efficacy in elderly patients through 12 weeks, without causing

residual sedation or increasing the risk of complex sleep behaviors.^{22,26} Escitalopram has demonstrated safety and efficacy over two weeks in elderly patients and ramelteon over five weeks.^{34,47}

Furthermore, efficacy of the non-benzodiazepine hypnotics has been demonstrated to be sustained for up to one year. Escitalopram and zolpidem extended-release have demonstrated sustained efficacy through six months while ramelteon and zolpidem immediate-release have demonstrated sustained efficacy over the course of a year.^{28,35,36,53,61,68}

Table 4. Clinical Trials

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Insomnia				
Lankford et al ²² Doxepin 6 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, RCT Elderly adults with primary insomnia	N=255 4 weeks	Primary: sTST at week one Secondary: LSO, sTST at weeks two through four, subjective NAW after sleep onset, sleep quality, CGI, PGI and ISI, safety	Primary: At week one sTST was significantly increased for doxepin 6 mg compared to placebo (335.2 vs 316.7; $P<0.01$). Secondary: The two treatment groups did not differ significantly on the LSO endpoint at any time during this study. During weeks two through four, sTST was significantly increased with doxepin 6 mg compared to placebo (346.1 vs 336.4; $P<0.01$). Sleep quality was significantly improved at weeks one, three, and four for doxepin 6 mg compared to placebo, with a trend towards significance at week two ($P=0.0511$). The subjective NAW after sleep onset was not significantly different from placebo at any time point. The ISI was significantly improved with doxepin, compared to placebo, at all four weeks (all P -values <0.02). Doxepin 6 mg produced significant improvements in the CGI-Severity and CGI-Improvement scale scores when compared to placebo at weeks one and two. At weeks three and four, treatment with doxepin resulted in improvements over baseline, but these improvements were not statistically significant. The PGI was significantly improved for patients receiving doxepin on almost all of the five therapeutic effect items at each visit, with this improvement reaching statistical significance, compared to placebo, in four out of five items by the final study visit for patients receiving doxepin. Overall, doxepin was well tolerated with rates of treatment emergent adverse events similar between the doxepin and placebo groups (31 vs 27%, respectively). There were no reports of complex sleep behaviors, memory impairment, or cognitive disorder in any doxepin-treated patient.
Scharf et al ²³ Doxepin 1, 3, or 6 mg vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, XO Elderly adults (>65 years of age) with primary insomnia	N=76 This was a 4 period XO; each period lasted 2 nights with a	Primary: WTDS Secondary: Safety	Primary: Doxepin 1, 3 and 6 mg resulted in significant reductions in WTDS when compared to placebo (1 mg: 69.60 ± 32.61 vs 85.80 ± 38.39 minutes; $P<0.0001$, 3 mg: 64.80 ± 31.96 vs 85.80 ± 38.39 minutes; $P<0.0001$, and 6 mg: 59.5 ± 28.3 vs 85.80 ± 38.39 minutes; $P<0.0001$). Secondary:

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
		5- to 12-day washout period between study drugs		There were 32 adverse events reported during the study. The only event that was reported by more than one patient was headache, occurring in two patients during the placebo treatment period. Seven patients (10%) experienced at least one adverse event during the placebo treatment period, and 9 (12%), 6 (8%), and 5 (7%) patients experienced at least one adverse event during treatment with doxepin 1, 3, and 6 mg, respectively. All reported adverse events were mild or moderate, except for one incident of chest pain that required hospitalization and was determined to be unrelated to the study drug.
Krystal et al ²⁴ Doxepin 3 or 6 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, PG, RCT Patients 18 to 64 years of age with primary insomnia who reported sleep maintenance difficulty	N=229 35 nights of treatment followed by 2 nights of SB placebo	Primary: WASO on night one (assessed by PSG) Secondary: PSG measures: WASO, LPS, NAW after sleep onset, TST, SE, and WASP; patient-reported measures: LSO, WASO, TST, NAW after sleep onset, and sleep quality, safety	Primary: On night one, WASO was significantly improved with doxepin 3 and 6 mg (41.4 and 36.3 minutes for 3 mg and 6 mg, respectively, vs 66.8 minutes with placebo; $P<0.0001$ for both vs placebo). Secondary: <i>PSG-evaluated outcomes</i> Treatment with doxepin 3 and 6 mg also resulted in significant improvements in WASO over placebo at night 15 (3 mg: 44.7 vs 60.5 minutes; $P=0.0053$, 6 mg: 41.7 vs 60.5 minutes; $P=0.0023$) and night 29 (3 mg: 47.2 vs 60.5 minutes; $P=0.0299$, 6 mg: 40.7 vs 60.5 minutes; $P=0.0012$). It was found that improvement in WASO on night one for both doses of doxepin did not differ between African Americans and Caucasians. Treatment with both 3 and 6 mg of doxepin resulted in significant improvements over placebo in TST, and consequently SE, at night one (3 mg: 415.3 vs 373.9 minutes, 6 mg: 420.5 vs 373.9 minutes; $P<0.0001$ for both doses vs placebo) and night 29 (3 mg: 408.0 vs 391.5 minutes; $P=0.0262$, 6 mg: 419.5 vs 391.5 minutes; $P=0.0003$). TST and SE were also significantly improved over placebo with 6 mg of doxepin at night 15 (411.5 vs 389.2 minutes; $P=0.0157$). There were no significant differences in the NAW after sleep onset for any dose at any time point. It was found that SE in the last quarter of the night was significantly improved over placebo with doxepin 3 mg on night one (88.3 vs 79.9%; $P=0.0008$) and night 15 (86.6 vs 81.2%; $P=0.0220$), and with doxepin 6 mg on night one (89.8 vs 79.9%; $P<0.0001$), night 15 (87.4 vs 81.2%; $P=0.0239$), and night 29 (87.8 vs 80.7%; $P=0.0029$).

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>LPS was significantly improved over placebo on night one for both doxepin 3 and 6 mg (3 mg: 26.7 vs 44.8 minutes; $P=0.0047$, 6 mg: 27.1 vs 44.8 minutes; $P=0.0007$).</p> <p><i>Patient reported outcomes</i> Significant improvements in WASO for doxepin 3 and 6 mg, compared to placebo, were observed at night one ($P=0.0003$ and $P=0.0004$, respectively). Significant improvements in WASO over placebo were also seen on the DB average across nights one, 15, and 29 for doxepin 3 and 6 mg ($P=0.0088$ and $P=0.0178$, respectively). When compared to placebo, there were also significant improvements in TST for doxepin 3 and 6 mg ($P=0.0088$ and $P=0.0135$, respectively). Sleep quality was also significantly improved compared to placebo with doxepin 3 and 6 mg at night one ($P=0.0068$ and $P<0.0001$, respectively). Sleep quality was also significantly improved over placebo with doxepin 6 mg for the double-blind average ($P=0.0028$). Treatment with doxepin 6 mg also resulted in a significant improvement over placebo in LPS at night one ($P=0.0492$).</p> <p>The average WASO remained improved relative to baseline for both doses of doxepin on both of the two discontinuation nights. The percentage of patients meeting PSG-defined rebound insomnia criteria was similar across groups over both discontinuation nights (1 and 4% in the doxepin 3 and 6 mg groups, respectively vs 1% in the placebo group; P value not reported).</p> <p>There were no significant differences between placebo and either dose of doxepin on any of the measures assessing psychomotor function or next-day alertness at any time point (P value not reported).</p> <p>Overall incidence of adverse events was low with 20 (27%), 26 (35%), and 23 (32%) patients experiencing an adverse event in the placebo, doxepin 3 mg and doxepin 6 mg groups, respectively. The most common adverse events were headache, somnolence/sedation, and nausea.</p>
Roth et al ²⁵ Doxepin 6 mg vs	DB, PC, PG, RCT Healthy adults; the study utilized a first-night effect and 3 hour phase	N=565 1 night	Primary: LPS (assessed via PSG) Secondary: PSG endpoints	Primary: Treatment with doxepin 6 mg demonstrated statistically significant improvements in LPS (13 minute decrease over placebo; $P<0.0001$). <p>Secondary: Doxepin 6 mg reduced WASO by 39 minutes and improved TST by 51 minutes over </p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo	advance model to induce transient insomnia		include WASO, TST, WTAS, and SE; subjective endpoints include LSO, WASO, TST, and sleep quality, safety	<p>placebo ($P<0.0001$ for both). Doxepin also resulted in a statistically significant improvement over placebo in WTAS ($P<0.0001$), overall SE ($P<0.0001$), SE in each quarter of the night ($P<0.0001$), and SE in each of the eight hours evaluated ($P\leq 0.0003$). Doxepin also resulted in significant improvements in subjective variables over placebo including a shorter LSO ($P<0.0001$), a 10.6 minute reduction in WASO ($P=0.0063$), a 51.1 increase in TST ($P<0.0001$), a 0.4 point increase in sleep quality ($P=0.0004$).</p> <p>There was no consistent evidence of next-day residual sedation and minor sleep stages alterations. The incidence of adverse events with doxepin 6 mg was comparable to placebo (8 vs 7%, respectively; P value not reported).</p>
Krystal et al ²⁶ Doxepin 1 or 3 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, PG, RCT Elderly patients with chronic primary insomnia	N=240 12 weeks Supervised administration of study drug in a sleep laboratory was conducted on nights 1, 15, 29, 57, and 85; patients took study drug nightly at home between visits to sleep laboratory	Primary: WASO on night one Secondary: PSG evaluated endpoints include WASO at other time points, LPS, NAW after sleep onset, TST, SE, and WTAS; patient-reported IVRS endpoints include LSO, TST, and sleep quality, safety	Primary: Treatment with both doxepin 1 and 3 mg led to significant improvement over treatment with placebo in WASO on night one (1 mg: 91.8 vs 108.9 minutes; $P=0.0053$, 3mg: 74.5 vs 108.9 minutes; $P<0.0001$). Secondary: Treatment with doxepin 1 mg led to an increase over placebo in WASO on night 85 (97.0 vs 109.2 minutes; $P<0.0330$). Treatment with doxepin 3 mg led to an increase over placebo in WASO on night 29 and night 85 (84.3 vs 104.6 minutes; $P=0.0005$ and 75.7 vs 109.2 minutes; $P<0.0001$, respectively). TST was significantly increased over placebo in the doxepin 1 mg group on night one and night 85 (359.1 vs 339.7 minutes; $P=0.0119$ and 360.5 vs 343.7 minutes; $P=0.0257$, respectively). TST was also significantly increased over placebo in the doxepin 3 mg group on night one, night 29, and night 85 (382.9 vs 339.7 minutes; $P<0.0001$, 363.9 vs 345.0 minutes; $P=0.0161$, and 373.7 vs 343.7 minutes; $P=0.0007$). Treatment with doxepin 3 mg resulted in a significant improvement in overall SE when compared to treatment with placebo ($P<0.0001$). SE in the last quarter of the night was significantly increased over placebo on night one in the doxepin 1 mg group (72.5 vs 62.1%; $P=0.0011$). In the doxepin 3 mg group, SE in the last quarter of the night was significantly increased over placebo on night one, night 29, and night 85 (76.6 vs 62.1%; $P<0.0001$, 75.7 vs 64.7%; $P=0.0004$, and 76.1 vs 65.0%; $P=0.0014$). SE in hour eight was significantly increased over placebo on night one in the doxepin

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>1 mg group ($P=0.0211$) and on nights one ($P<0.0001$) and 29 ($P=0.0029$) in the doxepin 3 mg group.</p> <p>The NAW was significantly reduced when compared to placebo in the doxepin 1 mg group on nights 29 and 85 (14.9 vs 12.6; $P<0.05$ and 14.9 vs 11.9; $P<0.01$). LPS was not significantly reduced when compared to placebo for either dose of doxepin at any time point.</p> <p>Treatment with doxepin also resulted in significant improvements in several patient-reported endpoints. Patient-reported LSO was significantly decreased compared to placebo at weeks one, four, and 12 with doxepin 3 mg (40.0 vs 59.7 minutes; $P=0.003$, 48.6 vs 56.5 minutes; $P=0.0397$, and 39.9 vs 55.5 minutes; $P=0.0464$), and at weeks four and 12 with doxepin 1 mg (45.2 vs 56.5 minutes; $P=0.0116$, and 37.5 vs 55.5 minutes; $P=0.0028$).</p> <p>Treatment with doxepin resulted in a significant increase in patient-reported TST over placebo at weeks four and 12 in the 1 mg group (348.8 vs 317.5 minutes; $P<0.05$ and 371.5 vs 326.0 minutes; $P<0.01$) and at weeks one, four and 12 in the 3 mg group (356.8 vs 316.2 minutes; $P<0.01$, 362.5 vs 317.5 minutes; $P<0.01$, and 389.4 vs 326.0 minutes; $P<0.001$).</p> <p>Sleep quality was improved over placebo at weeks four and 12 in the doxepin 1 mg group (0.5 vs 0.1; $P<0.05$ and 0.8 vs 0.2; $P<0.05$) and at weeks one, four and 12 in the doxepin 3 mg group (0.6 vs 0.0; $P<0.001$, 0.7 vs 0.1; $P<0.001$, and 0.9 vs 0.2; $P<0.001$).</p> <p>There was significant improvement over placebo after two ($P=0.0047$), four ($P=0.0356$), and 12 weeks ($P=0.0005$) on the CGI-Severity scale score for doxepin 3 mg and after 12 weeks ($P=0.0101$) for doxepin 1 mg. There was significant improvement after two ($P=0.0060$), four ($P=0.0334$), and 12 weeks ($P=0.0008$) on the CGI-Improvement scale score with doxepin 3 mg and after 12 weeks ($P=0.0082$) for doxepin 1 mg.</p> <p>There was significant improvement, compared to placebo, on the ISI total score at night 15 ($P=0.0216$), night 29 ($P=0.0068$), and night 85 ($P=0.0056$) for doxepin 3 mg. After 12 weeks, there was significant improvements for both doxepin groups,</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>compared to placebo, on all five items of the ISI ($P<0.05$ for all comparisons). Daytime function ratings were significantly improved, compared to placebo, with doxepin 1 and 3 mg on night one ($P=0.0192$ and $P=0.0282$, respectively) as well as on night 85 ($P=0.0102$ and $P=0.0028$, respectively).</p> <p>There were no significant differences between placebo and either dose of doxepin on any of the measures assessing objective psychomotor function, subjective next-day alertness or drowsiness at any time. Rates of treatment-emergent adverse events were lower in subjects treated with doxepin 1 and 3 mg compared to subjects treated with placebo (40 and 38 vs 52%, respectively; P value not reported). The most common adverse events were headache and somnolence.</p>
<p>McCall et al²⁷</p> <p>Eszopiclone 3 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>All patients started with one week of OL fluoxetine; patients experiencing insomnia after this period were randomized to 8 weeks of eszopiclone or placebo in addition to the OL fluoxetine.</p>	<p>DB, PC, RCT</p> <p>Patients 18 to 70 years of age with depression and insomnia</p>	<p>N=60</p> <p>8 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: DLRF subscale of the Basis-32</p> <p>Secondary: Safety</p>	<p>Primary: Final DLRF scores were better (lower) in the eszopiclone group than in the placebo group (0.81 ± 0.64 vs 1.2 ± 0.72, ES 0.62).</p> <p>Secondary: The only meaningful adverse event reported, was unpleasant taste, and it occurred in 46% of patients treated with eszopiclone.</p>
<p>Zammit et al²⁸</p> <p>Eszopiclone 2 or 3 mg</p> <p>vs</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, RCT</p> <p>Adults 21 to 64 years of age with chronic primary insomnia</p>	<p>N=308</p> <p>6 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Efficacy (PSG and patient reports), next day residual effects (DSST), tolerance,</p>	<p>Primary: Eszopiclone 2 and 3 mg had significantly less time to sleep onset ($P\leq0.001$ and $P\leq0.0001$, respectively), more TST ($P\leq0.01$ and $P\leq0.0001$), better SE ($P\leq0.001$ and $P\leq0.0001$), and enhanced quality and depth of sleep (both $P<0.05$) across the DB period compared to placebo. Eszopiclone 3 mg ($P\leq0.01$) but not 2 mg significantly improved sleep maintenance compared to placebo.</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo			rebound insomnia, safety Secondary: Not reported	Median DSST scores showed no decrement in psychomotor performance relative to baseline and did not differ from placebo in either eszopiclone group. There was no evidence of tolerance or rebound insomnia after therapy discontinuation. Treatment was well tolerated; unpleasant taste was the most common adverse event reported with eszopiclone. Secondary: Not reported
Ancoli-Israel et al ²⁹ Eszopiclone 2 mg vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients 65 to 85 years of age with primary insomnia	N=388 12 weeks Treatment was followed by a two week, SB run out period	Primary: Change from baseline sTST Secondary: Change from baseline in sSL and WASO	Primary: After 12 weeks, the mean sTST was 360.08 minutes with eszopiclone compared to 297.86 minutes at baseline (mean change of 63.24 minutes). This was significantly greater than placebo ($P<0.0001$). Secondary: There was a greater improvement in sSL with eszopiclone compared to placebo (mean decrease of 24.62 minutes vs 19.92 minutes; respectively; $P=0.0014$). Patients receiving eszopiclone experienced a greater decrease in WASO compared to those receiving placebo (mean decrease of 36.4 minutes vs 14.8 minutes; $P<0.0001$). The reported NAW per night was reduced ($P\leq 0.01$), and the quality ($P<0.001$) and depth of sleep ($P\leq 0.001$) was improved at all time points with eszopiclone compared to placebo. There was a significantly greater decrease in naps per week over the first three weeks of treatment with eszopiclone (1.2 naps per week decrease) vs placebo (0.4 naps per week; $P=0.006$), but not at subsequent time points. Similar results were obtained for total nap time per week. Patients receiving eszopiclone had significantly greater improvements in ISI total scores than those receiving placebo at all time points (all $P<0.001$). The percentage of patients with ISI total scores categorized as "no insomnia" and "sub-threshold

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>insomnia" was greater in the eszopiclone group (78.0% at week 12) than in the placebo group (61.1%; $P<0.05$).</p> <p>Changes in self-reported daytime alertness, ability to function, ability to concentrate, and sense of physical well-being were significantly increased with eszopiclone compared to placebo at all times points (all $P\leq 0.001$).</p> <p>Patients receiving eszopiclone had significant improvements in the vitality scale of the SF-36 at week six ($P=0.04$) and week 12 ($P=0.008$), and in the general health scale at week 12 ($P=0.009$) compared to placebo. There were no significant differences on the other SF-36 individual scale scores, or on the mental or physical component summary scores among the treatment groups.</p> <p>On the SDS, there were significant improvements observed in the eszopiclone group compared to the placebo group for the social life and family life/home responsibilities items (both $P\leq 0.03$) at week six, but not at week 12. There was no significant difference on the work/school item at either time point.</p> <p>The overall incidence of adverse events was 59.3% for eszopiclone and 50.5% for placebo. The most common adverse events reported in the eszopiclone group were headache (13.9 vs 12.4% for placebo), unpleasant taste (12.4 vs 1.5% for placebo), and nasopharyngitis (5.7 vs 6.2% for placebo).</p>
<p>Menza et al³⁰</p> <p>Eszopiclone 2 to 3 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>This was a fixed-dose study; patients <65 years of age received 3 mg and patients ≥ 65 years of</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT</p> <p>Patients 35 to 85 years of age with Parkinson's disease and sleep maintenance insomnia or SL insomnia, as well as clinically significant daytime distress or impairment</p>	<p>N=30</p> <p>6 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Patient-reported TST</p> <p>Secondary: WASO, NAW and SII, quality of sleep, quality of life (assessed via PDQ-8), motor function (assessed via UPDRS), severity and change</p>	<p>Primary: There was no significant difference in the improvement seen in TST among the groups (66.5 minutes with eszopiclone vs 47.0 minutes with placebo; $P=0.1099$).</p> <p>Secondary: There were significant differences in NAW ($P=0.035$), quality of sleep ($P=0.018$), and CGI-improvement in sleep ($P=0.035$) among the groups. There was no significant difference in WASO ($P=0.071$).</p> <p>There were no differences in the UPDRS motor, activities of daily living, therapeutic complications, mood or Schwab subscales.</p> <p>There were no significant differences in SL, FSS, SII, PDQ-8, Ability to Function Scale, the MCBI caregiver burden, the CES-D, or the Daytime Alertness Scale.</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
age received 2 mg of eszopiclone.	secondary to insomnia		(assessed via CGI), ability to function, daytime alertness, fatigue severity (assessed via FSS), caregiver quality of life and depression (assessed via MCBI and CES-D)	Overall, 30% of patients reported adverse events; 33% of patients receiving eszopiclone and 27% of patients receiving placebo.
Pollack et al ³¹ Eszopiclone 3 mg vs placebo Each treatment was administered for three weeks and separated by a one-week washout period.	DB, PC, RCT, XO Patients 18 to 64 years of age with PTSD with associated sleep disturbance	N=24 7 weeks	Primary: Changes in scores on the SPRINT and PSQI scales Secondary: CAPS, SL and TST	Primary: Eszopiclone was associated with significant improvement in PTSD symptomatology as measured by the SPRINT compared to placebo ($P=0.032$). Eszopiclone was associated with a significantly greater reduction in PSQI score compared to placebo ($P=0.011$). Secondary: In phase 1, the CAPS was also significantly reduced with eszopiclone compared to placebo ($P=0.003$). SL was significantly reduced with eszopiclone compared to placebo ($P=0.044$). There was no significant difference in TST among the treatment groups ($P=0.061$). Adverse events with eszopiclone were of mild to moderate severity, with the most common comprising unpleasant taste (32%), sedation (16%), and headaches (12%).
Erman et al ³² Eszopiclone 1 mg for 2 nights vs	MC, RCT, XO Patients 21 to 64 years of age with primary insomnia; with a 3 to 7 day washout between	N=65 2 nights for each treatment	Primary: LPS Secondary: SE, WASO, WTDS, NAW, and patient-	Primary: All active treatments reduced median LPS by 42 to 55% compared to placebo ($P<0.05$). The median LPS was 13.1 minutes for eszopiclone 3 mg and zolpidem 10 mg. The median LPS was 29.0, 16.8, 15.5, and 13.8 minutes for the placebo, eszopiclone 1, 2, and 2.5 mg dose groups, respectively. The two highest doses of eszopiclone (2.5 mg and 3 mg) and zolpidem demonstrated significantly lower LPS when compared to eszopiclone 1 mg ($P<0.05$).

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>eszopiclone 2 mg for 2 nights</p> <p>vs</p> <p>eszopiclone 2.5 mg for 2 nights</p> <p>vs</p> <p>eszopiclone 3 mg for 2 nights</p> <p>vs</p> <p>zolpidem 10 mg for 2 nights</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo for 2 nights</p>	<p>XO treatments</p>		<p>reported variables</p>	<p>Secondary: Significant differences were found between all active treatments in SE compared to placebo ($P<0.05$). Eszopiclone 2, 2.5, and 3 mg, and zolpidem 10 mg demonstrated significantly higher SE when compared to eszopiclone 1 mg ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>Treatment with eszopiclone 3 mg resulted in significant differences compared to treatment with placebo for WASO, WTDS, and NAW. Eszopiclone 2.5 mg demonstrated significant differences compared to placebo for WASO and WTDS. Neither of the lower doses of eszopiclone nor zolpidem 10 mg was different from placebo for WASO or WTDS. Comparisons of eszopiclone 3 mg and zolpidem 10 mg were not significantly different for WASO ($P=0.12$), for WTDS ($P=0.07$), or for NAW ($P=0.10$).</p> <p>Treatment with eszopiclone 2 and 3 mg and zolpidem 10 mg showed improvements in patient-reported measures of sleep relative to placebo. Both doses of eszopiclone and zolpidem 10 mg significantly improved sSL, sTST, quality of sleep, and depth of sleep relative to placebo ($P<0.05$). Eszopiclone 2 and 3 mg and zolpidem 10 mg were significantly different from placebo for subject reported NAW and sWASO ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>Morning sleepiness was significantly less with eszopiclone 3 mg compared to placebo ($P<0.05$). Evening ratings of daytime alertness were significantly increased with eszopiclone 2 mg and with zolpidem 10 mg compared to placebo ($P<0.05$), and daytime ability to function was significantly improved for eszopiclone 2 and 3 mg and zolpidem 10 mg compared to placebo ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>The most common adverse events were headache, unpleasant taste, somnolence, dizziness, and nausea. The overall rate of central nervous system adverse events was 7.9% for placebo, 6.2 to 12.5% for the eszopiclone groups, and 23.4% for zolpidem 10 mg.</p>
<p>Joffe et al³³</p> <p>Eszopiclone 3 mg for 4 weeks</p> <p>vs</p>	<p>DB, PC, RCT, XO</p> <p>Perimenopausal and postmenopausal women 40 to 65 years of age with</p>	<p>N=59</p> <p>11 weeks</p> <p>Each treatment period was</p>	<p>Primary: Changes in the ISI scale</p> <p>Secondary: Diary-based sleep parameters</p>	<p>Primary: The ISI score was reduced by 8.7 more points with eszopiclone than with placebo ($P<0.0001$). The ISI score was 7 or less after four weeks of treatment in 87% of women on eszopiclone and in 34% of women on placebo.</p> <p>Secondary: SL was reduced by 17.8 more minutes with eszopiclone than with placebo ($P=0.04$).</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo for 4 weeks	sleep-onset and/or sleep-maintenance insomnia co-occurring with hot flashes and depressive and/or anxiety symptoms	separated by a 2-week washout period	(WASO, SE, sleep-onset latency, TST, NAW); number of hot flashes/night sweats, depressive symptoms (via MADRS), anxiety symptoms (assessed via BAI), MENQOL, and functional impairment, safety	<p>For both treatment periods together, WASO was reduced by 37.7 minutes more with eszopiclone than placebo ($P=0.05$), SE improved by 14.6% more with eszopiclone than with placebo ($P=0.01$), and TST increased by 66.5 minutes more with eszopiclone than with placebo ($P=0.01$).</p> <p>Among patients with anxiety symptoms at baseline, BAI scores were reduced by a mean of 1.5 more with eszopiclone than with placebo ($P=0.03$). Quality of life ($P=0.0002$) and functional disability ($P=0.09$) improved more on eszopiclone than on placebo.</p> <p>Among those with depressive symptoms at baseline, MADRS scores were reduced by a mean of 7.4 more points with eszopiclone than with placebo ($P=0.0004$). Compared to placebo, eszopiclone had a significant effect on depressive symptoms during the second ($P=0.003$), but not first, treatment period.</p> <p>There was a significant reduction in nighttime hot flashes with eszopiclone compared to placebo (reduction by 1.5 nighttime hot flashes; $P=0.047$), but the effect on daytime symptoms was not different. Compared to placebo, eszopiclone had a significant effect on nighttime hot flashes during the second ($P=0.0006$), but not first, treatment period.</p> <p>Overall, the treatment was well tolerated. The only adverse event occurring in >5% of the population was metallic taste on eszopiclone (25%).</p>
Scharf et al ³⁴ Eszopiclone 1 or 2 mg vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Community-dwelling elderly patients (mean age 72.3 years) with primary insomnia	N=231 2 weeks	Primary: Patient-reported efficacy (SL, TST) Secondary: WASO, NAW, number and length of naps, quality of sleep, depth of sleep, ratings of daytime	<p>Primary: Patients treated with eszopiclone 1 and 2 mg had a significantly shorter SL compared to placebo ($P<0.05$ and $P=0.0034$, respectively).</p> <p>The eszopiclone 2-mg group ($P=0.0003$) but not the 1-mg group ($P>0.1$) had significantly longer TST compared to placebo.</p> <p>Secondary: Compared to placebo, patients receiving eszopiclone 2 mg had significantly less WASO but similar NAW per night ($P>0.1$).</p> <p>Patients receiving eszopiclone 2 mg had significantly fewer ($P=0.028$) and shorter in duration ($P=0.011$) daytime naps, higher ratings of sleep quality ($P=0.0006$) and</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			alertness, sense of physical well-being, morning sleepiness, ability to function, quality of life (Q-LES-Q), safety	<p>depth ($P=0.0015$), better daytime alertness ($P=0.022$) and sense of physical well-being ($P=0.047$) compared to patients receiving placebo.</p> <p>The differences between eszopiclone 2 mg and placebo were marginally significant for morning sleepiness ($P=0.055$) and ability to function ($P=0.058$).</p> <p>Duration of nap was significantly shorter in the eszopiclone 1-mg group compared to the placebo group ($P<0.05$); however, there were no other significant differences in any other secondary efficacy endpoints.</p> <p>Compared to placebo, the eszopiclone 2-mg group had significantly higher quality of life scores on five of the 16 Q-LES-Q domains (physical health, mood, household activities, leisure time activities and medications; $P<0.05$). The differences between eszopiclone 2 mg and placebo were marginally significant for the Q-LES-Q global score ($P=0.064$). There were no significant differences between eszopiclone 1 mg and placebo for any of the Q-LES-Q dimensions.</p> <p>Eszopiclone was well tolerated with unpleasant taste reported as the most frequent treatment-related adverse event.</p>
Krystal et al ³⁵ Eszopiclone 3 mg vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT Adults with chronic insomnia	N=788 6 months	Primary: SL, WASO, NAW, TST, quality of sleep, next-day ratings of ability to function, daytime alertness, sense of physical well-being, safety Secondary: Not reported	Primary: At the first week and each month for the study duration, eszopiclone produced significant and sustained improvements in SL, WASO, NAW, number of nights awakened per week, TST, and quality of sleep compared to placebo (all $P\leq 0.003$). Monthly ratings of next-day function, alertness, and sense of physical well-being were also significantly better with the use of eszopiclone than with placebo (all $P\leq 0.002$). There was no evidence of tolerance and the most common adverse events were unpleasant taste and headache. Secondary: Not reported
Walsh et al ³⁶ Eszopiclone 3 mg	DB, MC, PC, RCT Adults 21 to 64 years of age with	N=830 26 weeks	Primary: Patient-reported sleep measures (SL, WASO,	Primary: Patient-reported sleep and daytime function improved more with eszopiclone than with placebo at all months ($P<0.001$).

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs placebo	primary insomnia		TST, NAW, sleep quality, daytime alertness, ability to concentrate, physical well-being, and ability to function), ISI, FSS, ESS, Medical Outcomes Study SF-36, Work Limitations Questionnaire, safety (assessments performed at baseline, treatment months one to six, and two weeks after discontinuation of treatment) Secondary: Not reported	<p>Eszopiclone reduced ISI scores to below clinically meaningful levels for 50% of patients (vs 19% of patients with placebo; $P<0.05$) at six months.</p> <p>Lower mean scores on the FSS and the ESS were observed in the eszopiclone group relative to placebo for each month and the month one to six average ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>SF-36 domains of Physical Functioning, Vitality, and Social Functioning were improved with eszopiclone vs placebo for the month one to six average ($P<0.05$). Similarly, improvements were observed for all domains of the Work Limitations Questionnaire with eszopiclone vs placebo for the month one to six average ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>There was no evidence of rebound insomnia after discontinuation of eszopiclone as SL, WASO and TST remained significantly improved from baseline (all $P<0.001$). There were no between-treatment differences observed during the discontinuation period except for a significantly greater SL on the first night after discontinuation with eszopiclone vs placebo (45 vs 30 minutes; $P=0.015$).</p> <p>No significant group differences were observed in mean Benzodiazepine Withdrawal Symptom Questionnaire scores (3.0 with eszopiclone and 2.3 with placebo; $P=0.12$), or overall adverse event rates (15.2% for eszopiclone and 11.1% for placebo; P value not reported). Unpleasant taste (19.7 vs 1.1%; $P<0.001$), somnolence (8.8 vs 3.2%; $P=0.0029$), and myalgia (6.0 vs 2.9; $P=0.047$) were reported in significantly more patients receiving eszopiclone than those receiving placebo.</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>
Rosenberg et al ³⁷ Eszopiclone 1, 2, 3 or 3.5 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, RCT Healthy adult volunteers with transient insomnia	N=436 1 night	Primary: Efficacy and next-morning effects evaluated by PSG, DSST and self report Secondary: Not reported	<p>Primary: Patients treated with eszopiclone had significantly less PSG LPS (all doses except 1 mg; $P\leq 0.0001$), WASO (all doses; $P\leq 0.05$) and NAW (3 and 3.5 mg doses; $P<0.005$), and greater SE (all doses; $P\leq 0.02$) compared to placebo.</p> <p>Self-reported efficacy results were similar to PSG. Self-reported morning sleepiness scores were significantly better for eszopiclone 3 and 3.5 mg compared to placebo ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>Treatment was well tolerated by patients, and the most common treatment-related</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				adverse event was unpleasant taste. Secondary: Not reported
Johnson et al ³⁸ Ramelteon 16, 80 or 160 mg vs triazolam 0.25 mg, 0.5 mg or 0.75 mg vs placebo	DB, XO Adults with history of sedative abuse	N=14 18 days	Primary: Subject-rated measures (drug liking, street value, pharmacological classification), observer-rated measures (sedation, impairment), motor and cognitive performance (balance task, DSST, word recall) Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Compared to placebo, all doses of ramelteon showed no significant effect on any of the subjective effect measures, including those related to potential for abuse (all $P>0.05$). In the pharmacological classification, 79% of subjects identified the highest dose of ramelteon as placebo. Compared to placebo, ramelteon had no effect at any dose on any observer-rated or motor and cognitive performance measure (all $P>0.05$). Triazolam showed dose-related effects on subject-rated, observer-rated, and motor and cognitive performance measures. Secondary: Not reported
Roth et al ³⁹ Ramelteon 16 mg vs ramelteon 64 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, MC, RCT Healthy adult volunteers with transient insomnia (35 to 60 years of age with total sleep duration 6.5 to 8.5 hours, a usual SL of 30 minutes or less, a habitual bedtime	N =375 1 night	Primary: Mean LPS as measured by PSG Secondary: TST, WASO, percentage of sleep time in each sleep stage, NAW, residual effects assessed	Primary: Participants who had received either ramelteon dosage had significantly shorter LPS relative to placebo (both $P<0.001$). Secondary: Participants who had received ramelteon 16 or 64 mg had significantly longer TST compared to participants who had received placebo ($P=0.007$ and $P=0.033$, respectively). There were no significant differences between the ramelteon groups and placebo with regard to WASO, percentage of sleep time in each sleep stage, and NAW.

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Doses were given 30 minutes before bedtime.	between 8:30 PM and midnight)		by DSST and postsleep questionnaire, safety	<p>No significant differences in DSST scores were reported among the groups, but ramelteon 64 mg was associated with statistically significant declines in subjective levels of alertness ($P=0.020$) and ability to concentrate ($P=0.043$) compared to placebo.</p> <p>No serious adverse events were reported.</p>
Mayer et al ⁴⁰ Ramelteon 8 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age with chronic primary insomnia	N=451 6 months	Primary: LPS (measured by PSG) Secondary: TST (measured by PSG), total time spent in each sleep stage, latency to REM, self-reported efficacy	<p>Primary: Greater reductions in LPS occurred with ramelteon compared to placebo ($P<0.05$ for each time point). A greater change from baseline occurred with ramelteon (54 to 56%) compared to placebo (30 to 47%).</p> <p>Secondary A greater increase in TST occurred with ramelteon (381.1 minutes) compared to placebo (365.7 minutes) at week one ($P<0.001$), but not at any other time points.</p> <p>There were no significant changes in percent of time spent in Stage 1 or REM sleep with ramelteon vs placebo. There was a significant increase in percent of time spent in Stage 2 sleep and a significant decrease in time spent in Stage 3/4 with ramelteon compared to placebo (P values not reported).</p> <p>There was a greater reduction in subjective SL with ramelteon compared to placebo at week one, as well as months one and five ($P<0.05$). There were no significant reductions at other time points between the treatment groups.</p> <p>There were no significant differences between ramelteon and placebo at any time point on the following measures: subjective TST, subjective NAW and sleep quality.</p> <p>No significant differences in sWASO was observed between ramelteon (90.89 minutes) and placebo (79.54 minutes) at any time point except month six ($P=0.036$).</p> <p>There were no significant differences on measures of morning level of alertness and ability to concentrate, or immediate/delayed morning recall between the treatment groups.</p> <p>No rebound insomnia was observed during the placebo run-out period. There were no differences between the treatment groups with regards to measures of withdrawal</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>Uchiyama et al⁴¹</p> <p>Ramelteon 8 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, RCT</p> <p>Japanese patients 20 to 85 years of age with primary insomnia</p>	<p>N=1,605</p> <p>2 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Mean patient-reported SL during week one of treatment</p> <p>Secondary: Mean SL during week two of treatment, mean patient-reported TST for week one and for week two, patient's global impression of treatment, rebound insomnia, and safety</p>	<p>during the placebo run-out period.</p> <p>Primary: The mean SL was reduced in week one in both the ramelteon and placebo groups (-15.98 and -11.73 minutes, respectively; $P=0.0010$).</p> <p>Secondary: The mean SL decreased further in week two in both groups; however, the difference between the groups of -2.36 minutes in favor of ramelteon did not achieve statistical significance ($P=0.1093$).</p> <p>Ramelteon increased TST significantly more than placebo at week one (difference in LS mean, 4.2 minutes; $P=0.0484$), but not at week two (2.4 minutes; $P=0.2378$).</p> <p>The mean NAW reported by patients in the ramelteon group was significantly less than that in the placebo group at week 2 (difference in LS mean of -0.07; $P=0.0469$) but not for week 1 (-0.04; $P=0.2592$).</p> <p>The mean sleep quality score with ramelteon was significantly smaller than that with placebo for week one (difference in LS mean, -0.12; $P=0.0174$), but not week two (-0.06; $P=0.2059$).</p> <p>There was no evidence of rebound insomnia with ramelteon during the run-out period.</p> <p>The mean total score for patients' global impression of treatment improved significantly with ramelteon compared to placebo at the end of week one (1.52 vs 1.59; $P=0.0041$) and week two (1.45 vs 1.53; $P=0.0028$). The proportion of patients scoring individual items as "improved" was significantly higher for ramelteon than placebo at weeks one and two for time to fall asleep (week one, 53.1 vs 44.3%; $P=0.0100$, week two, 58.3 vs 52.5%; $P=0.0434$), TST (week one, 42.0 vs 34.0%; $P=0.0121$, week two, 47.6 vs 38.8%; $P=0.0031$), sleep quality (week one, 56.4 vs 48.2%; $P=0.0115$, week two, 62.5 vs 56.1%; $P=0.0463$), and usefulness of treatment (week one, 58.2 vs 47.6%; $P=0.0008$, week two, 64.6 vs 56.8%; $P=0.0123$), but not for daytime distress (week one, 33.4 vs 31.9%; $P=0.9116$, week two, 42.7 vs 37.7%; $P=0.0881$).</p> <p>A total of 26.4% of patients in the ramelteon group and 20.5% of patients in the</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>Uchiyama et al⁴²</p> <p>Ramelteon 4 to 16 mg</p> <p>From week four onward, if patient did not improve in the PGI rating, the dosage could be titrated up to a maximum of 16 mg.</p>	<p>MC, SB</p> <p>Japanese patients 20 to 85 years of age with primary insomnia</p>	<p>N=222</p> <p>24 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Adverse events, residual effects, rebound insomnia, withdrawal symptoms, and dependence</p> <p>Secondary: Subjective SL and TST</p>	<p>placebo group reported at least one treatment-emergent adverse event. All events were mild or moderate in severity. The most common adverse event leading to discontinuation was nasopharyngitis.</p> <p>Primary: During the study, 77.4% of patients reported adverse events. The most frequent reported adverse events were nasopharyngitis, inflammation of upper respiratory tract, eczema, elevated γ-glutamyltransferase, laryngopharyngitis, and headache. Endocrine adverse events that were considered drug-related included metrorrhagia, dysmenorrhea, polymenorrhea, increased estradiol, increased cortisol, and decreased cortisol.</p> <p>The mean change in next-morning residual scores significantly improved from baseline with ramelteon ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>The mean change from baseline in SL at week 24 and the placebo run-out period using the full analysis set with 8 mg were -30.4 and -28.6 minutes in the group continuously treated with ramelteon, which confirms the lack of rebound insomnia.</p> <p>Ramelteon was not associated with withdrawal symptoms and there was no evidence of dependence.</p> <p>Secondary: Mean subjective SL decreased significantly during the study. In the group that continuously received ramelteon 8 mg, it decreased from a baseline of 70.5 to 54.4 minutes after one week ($P<0.0001$) and 33.8 minutes after 20 weeks ($P<0.0001$), then plateaued until the end of the study.</p> <p>The mean subjective TST was 5.52 hours at baseline, increasing to 5.78 hours at week one ($P<0.0001$) and 6.30 hours at week 20 ($P<0.0001$), and remained stable until the end of the study.</p>
<p>Gooneratne et al⁴³</p> <p>Ramelteon 8 mg vs</p>	<p>DB, PC, RCT</p> <p>Patients ≥ 60 years of age with obstructive sleep apnea and</p>	<p>N=21</p> <p>4 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Objective change in SOL using PSG</p> <p>Secondary:</p>	<p>Primary: Using PSG, there was a 10.7 minute decrease in SOL in the ramelteon arm compared to a 17.8 minute increase in the placebo arm (difference, 28.5 minutes; $P=0.008$).</p> <p>For self-reported SOL, there was no significant difference among the two study arms</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
placebo	insomnia symptoms		Global perception of sleep quality (PSQI), insomnia severity (ISI), daytime functioning (FOSQ), quality of life (SF-36), and APAP adherence	(-1.3 minutes; $P=0.9$). Neither objective nor subjective SE differed significantly between study arms. Secondary: There were no significant differences in the PSQI, ISI, FOSQ, or SF-36 among the treatment groups. APAP adherence did not differ significantly between the ramelteon and placebo groups (159.1 vs 226.9 minutes; $P=0.4$). APAP adherence (≥ 4 hours of use for ≥ 4 nights per week) was 47.1% and was not affected by the treatment used. The adverse events reported with ramelteon were diarrhea, skin ulcer, sinusitis, and fracture after being hit by a bicyclist. For placebo, the adverse events were abdominal pain and nausea. All adverse events were thought to be unrelated to study drug treatments, and none were serious adverse events.
Uchimura et al (abstract) ⁴⁴ Ramelteon 4 and 8 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, RCT Japanese adults with chronic insomnia	N=1,130 Duration not reported	Primary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported There was no statistically significant difference between ramelteon and placebo in the change in subjective SL (P value not reported). Significant improvement was observed in the change in subjective TST with ramelteon 8 mg at week one (P value not reported). Post hoc analyses indicated that treatment with ramelteon 8 mg resulted in a reduction in subjective SL in individuals with smaller fluctuations (within ± 30 minutes) of subjective SL at baseline, in those with a shorter (<1 year) history of insomnia, and in individuals who had not used benzodiazepines (P value not reported). Ramelteon was safe and well tolerated up to 16 mg nightly.
Kohsaka et al (abstract) ⁴⁵ Ramelteon 4, 8, 16,	DB, PC, XO Japanese patients with chronic	N=65 Each dose was given for	Primary: Not reported Secondary:	Primary: Not reported Secondary:

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
or 32 mg vs placebo	insomnia	two nights over five study periods	Not reported	<p>Not reported</p> <p>Ramelteon 8 and 32 mg significantly shortened the mean LPS when compared to placebo (<i>P</i> value not reported). Overall changes in sleep architecture were modest (<3% changes vs placebo; <i>P</i> value not reported), with increases in stage 1 and decreases in stage 3/4. When compared to SL data from a similarly designed United States study, there was no evidence of any ethnic differences in the efficacy of ramelteon between Japanese and United States patients. Overall, ramelteon 8 mg showed the most favorable balance between sleep-promoting effects and tolerability (<i>P</i> value not reported).</p> <p>Ramelteon was well tolerated, the most common adverse effect was somnolence, which was similar to placebo at doses up to 8 mg, but increased with higher doses (<i>P</i> value not reported). Next-day residual effects occurred no more frequently with ramelteon at any dose than with placebo (<i>P</i> value not reported).</p>
Wang-Weigand et al ⁴⁶ Ramelteon 8 mg vs placebo	PC, RCT Adults 18 to 64 years of age with chronic insomnia	N=552 Nightly treatment for 3 weeks with a one week, placebo run-out period to assess rebound insomnia	<p>Primary: Patient reported SL at week three</p> <p>Secondary: Patient reported SL at week one and two, patient reported TST, patient reported WASO, patient reported NAW, and sleep quality (all assessed each week), safety</p>	<p>Primary and secondary: There was a reduction in the average patient reported SL (as measured by the PSQ-IVRS) at weeks one, two, and three, when compared to placebo; however, none of these reductions reached statistical significance (<i>P</i> value not reported). There were no significant differences seen between ramelteon and placebo at any time point regarding the following patient-reported parameters: TST, WASO, NAW, or sleep quality (<i>P</i> value not reported).</p> <p>There was no evidence of rebound insomnia detected during the placebo run-out period for the groups that had received placebo or ramelteon. Headache and somnolence occurred in more than 3% of subjects in either group. Overall, the proportion of subjects with any treatment-related adverse events was similar between the ramelteon and placebo-groups (16.5 vs 15.4%, respectively; <i>P</i>-value not reported).</p>
Roth et al ⁴⁷ Ramelteon 4 mg vs	DB, PC, RCT Patients 64 to 93 years of age with chronic primary	N=829 5 weeks	<p>Primary: SL at week one</p> <p>Secondary: TST at weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Significant reductions in SL at week one were reported with both ramelteon 4 mg (70.2 vs 78.5 minutes; <i>P</i>=0.008) and 8 mg (70.2 vs 78.5 minutes; <i>P</i>=0.008) compared to placebo.</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>ramelteon 8 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>Doses were given at night.</p>	<p>insomnia</p>		<p>one, three and five; reductions in SL at weeks three and five; sleep diaries; rebound insomnia and withdrawal effects during the seven-day placebo run out</p>	<p>Secondary: Patients continued to report reduced SL at week three with ramelteon 8 mg ($P=0.003$) and at week five with ramelteon 4 and 8 mg ($P=0.028$ and $P<0.001$, respectively) compared to placebo.</p> <p>Patient-reported TST at weeks one and three was significantly longer compared to placebo for ramelteon 4 mg (324.6 vs 313.9 minutes; $P=0.004$ and 336.0 vs 324.3 minutes; $P=0.007$, respectively). TST for ramelteon 4 mg at five weeks and for ramelteon 8 mg at weeks one, three and five were longer than placebo but did not reach statistical significance (P values >0.05).</p> <p>Analyses of other sleep parameters obtained via sleep diaries (e.g., NAW, ease of falling back asleep after an awakening and sleep quality) yielded no statistically significant differences among groups at weeks one, three and five.</p> <p>There was no evidence of significant rebound insomnia or withdrawal effects following treatment discontinuation.</p> <p>Incidence of adverse events was 51.5, 54.8 and 58.0% of patients in the placebo, 4 and 8 mg ramelteon groups, respectively.</p>
<p>Erman et al⁴⁸</p> <p>Ramelteon 4, 8, 16 or 32 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>Patients received all 5 treatments, with a 5- to 12-day washout between treatments.</p> <p>Medication was administered 30</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, RCT, 5-period XO</p> <p>Men and non-pregnant, non-lactating women 18 to 64 years of age with chronic insomnia</p>	<p>N107</p> <p>2 nights per treatment</p>	<p>Primary: Mean LPS</p> <p>Secondary: TST, WASO, percentage of sleep time in each sleep stage, subjective sleep quality, next-day performance and alertness, safety</p>	<p>Primary: All tested doses of ramelteon resulted in statistically significant reductions in LPS compared to placebo ($P<0.001$).</p> <p>Secondary: All tested doses of ramelteon resulted in statistically significant increases in TST compared to placebo ($P=0.001$).</p> <p>No significant differences in WASO ($P=0.470$), percentage of time spent in the different sleep stages and subjective sleep quality ($P=0.525$) were reported between the ramelteon groups and the placebo group.</p> <p>There were no differences between the placebo group and any ramelteon dose group on next-day performance and alertness (P values not reported).</p> <p>The safety of ramelteon at each dose was similar to that of placebo and the most</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
minutes before bedtime.				commonly reported adverse events were headache, somnolence, and sore throat.
Wang-Weigand et al ⁴⁹ Ramelteon 8 mg vs placebo	DB, PC, RCT (pooled analysis of 4 trials) Patients 18 to 83 years of age with chronic insomnia	N=1,122 Duration varied among included trials	Primary: LS mean LPS for nights one and two for each included trial Secondary: Safety	Primary: At nights one and two, mean LPS was 43.3 minutes (SE, 1.2 minutes) for the placebo group and 30.2 minutes (SE, 1.19 minutes), resulting in a between-group difference of 13.1 minutes ($P<0.001$). Secondary: The total number of adverse events was similar for ramelteon 8 mg (209 [36.5%]) and placebo (192 [34.3%]) (P value not reported). The most common adverse events were headache and somnolence.
Zammit et al ⁵⁰ Ramelteon 8 or 16 mg vs placebo	DB, MC, PC, RCT, SD Healthy patients 18 to 64 years of age	N=289 1 night	Primary: LPS assessed by PSG Secondary: PSG assessed endpoints include TST, WASO, and NAW after persistent sleep onset; subjective measures include SL, TST, WASO, NAW after persistent sleep onset, and overall sleep quality, safety	Primary: Treatment with ramelteon 8 mg resulted in a significant decrease in LS mean LPS when compared to placebo (12.2 vs 19.7 minutes; $P=0.004$). Treatment with ramelteon 16 mg resulted in a numeric decrease in LS mean LPS when compared to placebo; however, this decrease did not reach statistical significance (14.8 vs 19.7 minutes; $P=0.065$). Secondary: Treatment with ramelteon 8 and 16 mg resulted in significant increases in the LS mean TST when compared to placebo (8 mg: 436.8 vs 419.7 minutes; $P=0.009$ and 16 mg: 433.1 vs 419.7 minutes; $P=0.043$). There were no significant changes in any other objective or subjective measures of sleep. A total of 31 subjects (10.7%) reported at least one adverse event during the study. The incidence rates were 12.4, 13.3, and 6.4% for the placebo, ramelteon 8 and 16 mg groups, respectively. Most adverse events were mild or moderate in severity and the most commonly reported adverse event was somnolence.
Zammit et al ⁵¹ Ramelteon 8 mg vs zolpidem 10 mg	DB, MC, PC, XO Adults over the age of 65 with self-reported chronic insomnia	N=33 Each study drug was taken for one night each with a 4 to 10	Primary: SOT composite score Secondary: Equilibrium scores on the	Primary: There were no differences between placebo and ramelteon on the SOT ($P=0.837$). Secondary: There were no significant differences between placebo and ramelteon on turn time ($P=0.776$) or turn sway ($P=0.982$). Treatment with zolpidem, the positive control, did result in significant impairments on the SOT, turn time, and turn sway ($P<0.001$ for

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>vs placebo</p> <p>Subjects were administered the study drug 30 minutes prior to bedtime and were awakened 2 hours after dosing to evaluate balance.</p>		<p>day washout period between treatments.</p>	<p>SOT, SOT ratios, SQTT scores, and memory tests, safety</p>	<p>all). Immediate and delayed memory recall were not significantly different with ramelteon ($P=0.683$ and $P=0.650$, respectively); however, immediate recall declined significantly with zolpidem ($P=0.002$).</p> <p>Adverse events were infrequent and none were serious. The same proportion of subjects in the ramelteon and placebo groups reported adverse events (21.2%) compared to 39.4% of subjects in the zolpidem group. Adverse events that occurred in at least two subjects in any group include dizziness, headache, nausea, and somnolence.</p>
<p>Dobkin et al¹⁵²</p> <p>Ramelteon 8 mg</p>	<p>OL, PRO</p> <p>Patient population not specified</p>	<p>N=20</p> <p>6 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Patient reported LPS</p> <p>Secondary: Patient reported endpoints include TST, WASO, total number of nighttime awakenings, SE, and number of hot flashes/ night sweats; other secondary endpoints include sleep impairment (assessed via the SII), daytime functioning, daytime alertness, quality of life (assessed</p>	<p>Primary: Treatment with ramelteon resulted in improvements in LPS at week six when compared to baseline (24.0±15.0 vs 46.2±19.8 minutes; $P<0.001$). The average improvement across all participants was 22 minutes.</p> <p>Secondary: Treatment with ramelteon 8 mg resulted in improvements at week six when compared to baseline in the following parameters: TST (420±38 vs 336±62 minutes; $P<0.001$), SE (0.91±0.06 vs 0.80±0.10; $P<0.001$), night time awakenings (1.86±1.53 vs 2.32±1.36; $P<0.05$), and hot flashes (1.52±1.32 vs 2.31±1.95; $P<0.05$). There were no significant improvements in WASO at any time period throughout the study when compared to baseline.</p> <p>Significant improvements were observed in patient reported sleep quality ($P<0.001$), daytime dysfunction ($P<0.01$), daytime alertness ($P<0.001$), SII scores ($P<0.001$), MENQOL scores ($P<0.01$), BDI scores ($P<0.001$), and anxiety ($P<0.001$).</p> <p>At the end of this trial, 55% of women were considered “responders” according to the CGI-I scale. Insomnia severity, assessed by the CGI-S, also improved over baseline (3.14 vs 4.65; $P<0.001$).</p> <p>Of the subjects treated with ramelteon in this trial, 40% reported side effects. The most frequently reported side effects included headaches, daytime fatigue/fogginess,</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			via the MENQOL), mood (assessed via the BDI), CGI-S, and CGI-I, safety	dry mouth, lightheadedness, and dizziness. Most side effects were mild and transient.
<p>Richardson et al⁵³</p> <p>Ramelteon 8 or 16 mg</p> <p>Subjects >65 years of age received 8 mg/day, subjects 18 to 64 years of age received 16 mg/day.</p>	<p>OL, PRO</p> <p>Adults with primary insomnia</p>	<p>N=1,213</p> <p>48 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Adverse events, changes in vital signs, laboratory values, 12-ECG, and results of physical examination</p> <p>Secondary: Safety</p>	<p>Primary: There were no noteworthy changes in vital signs, physical examinations, clinical chemistry, hematology, or urinalysis values. There were also no ECG changes to suggest adverse cardiac effects.</p> <p>Consistent statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$) decreases in free thyroxine and free testosterone (in older men) were detected. Duration of menses increased by approximately one day.</p> <p>In both groups, those older and younger than 65, subjective SL and TST improved by month one and was sustained during the one-year period. At six months and one year, CGI indices were improved. During the placebo run-out period, SL did increase but did not return to baseline.</p> <p>Secondary: A total of 69.8% of patients reported at least one adverse event. There was no difference in adverse event incidence between those older and younger than 65 (P value not reported). The overall incidence of adverse events was similar at six months and one year.</p>
<p>Gross et al⁵⁴</p> <p>Ramelteon 8 mg</p> <p>All patients continued to take their antidepressant; dose reductions were permitted at any time but no dose increases were permitted during the</p>	<p>OL, PRO</p> <p>Patients 18 to 80 years of age with GAD and related insomnia</p>	<p>N=27</p> <p>10 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: CGI-I, CGI-S, daytime sleepiness (assessed via ESS), HAMA, and patient reported sleep diaries</p> <p>Secondary: Safety</p>	<p>Primary: The addition of ramelteon 8 mg resulted in significant improvement over baseline in the following study parameters: time to fall asleep (34.67 ± 29.26 vs 77.52 ± 47.73 minutes; $P < 0.001$), TST (7.52 ± 1.22 vs 5.02 ± 0.96 hours; $P < 0.001$), CGI-S Insomnia (1.67 ± 0.73 vs 4.30 ± 0.47; $P < 0.001$), CGI-I Insomnia (1.59 ± 0.64 vs 3.85 ± 0.36; $P < 0.001$), HAMA (3.96 ± 2.97 vs 8.26 ± 2.94; $P < 0.001$), ESS (5.48 ± 3.27 vs 11.56 ± 2.14; $P < 0.001$), CGI-S Anxiety (1.25 ± 0.64 vs 2.85 ± 0.66; $P < 0.001$), CGI-I Anxiety (1.41 ± 0.50 vs 2.33 ± 0.78; $P < 0.001$).</p> <p>Secondary: The most common adverse events regarding ramelteon use were headache upon stopping ramelteon (7.4%), daytime tiredness (3.7%), and depression (3.7%). All side</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
study period.				effects were reported as transient.
Huang et al ⁵⁵ Zaleplon 10 mg vs zolpidem 10 mg	AC, DB, RCT Patients 20 to 65 years of age with primary insomnia	N=48 2 weeks	Primary: Change in subjective SL from baseline to week two Secondary: Sleep duration, NAW, sleep quality and incidence of rebound insomnia	Primary: There was a significant reduction in subjective SL in the zaleplon group (reduced from 63.0 minutes to 31.6 minutes; $P<0.05$) and zolpidem group (reduced from 61.9 minutes to 30.0 minutes; $P<0.05$). There was no significant difference between the zaleplon group and zolpidem group in SL ($P=0.084$). Secondary: There was no significant difference in sleep duration, NAW, or sleep quality among the groups. None of the patients experienced rebound insomnia. The most frequently reported adverse effects were headache, dizziness, anxiety and urinary tract infection. There was no significant difference in the frequency of each adverse effect between the zaleplon and zolpidem groups.
Danjou et al ⁵⁶ Zaleplon 10 mg vs zolpidem 10 mg vs placebo	DB, XO Healthy volunteers, mean age 29.5 years	N=36 13 days	Primary: Subjective and objective measurements of residual effects when study drug was given five, four, three, or two hours before morning awakening, tests included DSST, CFF threshold, CRT, Memory Test, Sternberg Memory Scanning Task, LARS, LSEQ, adverse events Secondary: Not reported	Primary: No residual effects were demonstrated after zaleplon 10 mg, when administered as little as two hours before waking, on either subjective or objective assessments. Zolpidem 10 mg showed significant residual effects on DSST and memory after administration up to five hours before waking and CRT, CFF threshold and Sternberg Memory Scanning Task after administration up to four hours before waking. Residual effects of zolpidem were apparent in all objective and subjective measurements when the drug was administered later in the night. There were no serious adverse experiences during the study; all adverse events were mild-to-moderate. Overall, the number of subjects who reported any adverse experience after administration of study drug was similar for zaleplon and placebo (11 and 33% regardless of the time of drug administration) but was significantly higher following zolpidem (56 to 72%) when zolpidem was administered two, three, four, and five hours before awakening (P values not reported). Secondary: Not reported

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>Verster et al⁵⁷</p> <p>Zaleplon 10 mg vs zaleplon 20 mg vs zolpidem 10 mg vs zolpidem 20 mg vs placebo</p> <p>This was a 2-part study with the first part evaluating the effect of ethanol and the second part evaluating the effects of zaleplon and zolpidem.</p> <p>Only the second part of the study was reported in this review.</p>	<p>DB, XO</p> <p>Healthy volunteers with mean age 24.0 years</p>	<p>N=30</p> <p>Single dose with at least a 5-day washout period</p>	<p>Primary: Driving ability (standard deviation of the lateral position, standard deviation of speed, memory, psychomotor performance) (subjects given study medication five hours after going to bed and awakened three hours after dose, driving test performed four hours after awakened, memory and psychomotor tests performed six hours after awakened)</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>Primary: Zaleplon 10 and 20 mg did not significantly impair driving ability four hours after middle-of-the-night administration (significant difference defined as $P<0.0125$).</p> <p>Relative to placebo, after zolpidem 10 mg, standard deviation of the lateral position (amount of weaving of the car) was significantly elevated but the magnitude of the difference was small and not likely to be of clinical importance (difference, 2.87 cm; $P<0.005$). Standard deviation of speed (speed variability) was not significantly different for zolpidem 10 mg than placebo ($P=0.256$). Zolpidem 20 mg significantly increased SDLP and speed variability (both $P<0.001$).</p> <p>Memory and psychomotor test performances were unaffected after both doses of zaleplon and zolpidem 10 mg. Zolpidem 20 mg significantly impaired performance on psychomotor and memory tests. (Note: the recommended dose for zolpidem is 10 mg immediately before bedtime.)</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>
<p>Dundar et al⁵⁸</p> <p>Zaleplon 5 to 20 mg</p>	<p>DB, MA, PG, RCT, XO</p>	<p>6 trials</p> <p>N=1,539</p>	<p>Primary: SOL, TST, quality of sleep,</p>	<p>Primary: Of the two studies that directly compared SOL, one study reported a significantly shorter SL with zaleplon ($P<0.001$), whereas the other study reported results in favor</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>vs</p> <p>zolpidem 5 to 10 mg</p> <p>The complete MA included 24 studies in 3,909 patients of which 17 studies compared zaleplon, zolpidem or zopiclone* to a benzodiazepine, 1 study compared zolpidem to zopiclone* and 6 studies compared zaleplon to zolpidem.</p> <p>Only the results of the studies comparing zaleplon to zolpidem are included in this review.</p>	<p>Patients 16 to 85 years of age with insomnia</p>	<p>Duration varied (2 nights to 4 weeks)</p>	<p>adverse events, rebound insomnia</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>of zolpidem ($P=0.03$).</p> <p>Of the two studies that directly compared TST, one study reported that sleep duration was significantly less in the zaleplon group (290.7 vs 308.6 minutes for zolpidem; $P=0.05$) but another study found no difference (eight hours for zaleplon vs 8.3 hours for zolpidem; P value not reported).</p> <p>Patients on zaleplon were less likely to experience an improvement in sleep quality than those on zolpidem (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.87).</p> <p>There was no statistically significant difference in the frequency of treatment-emergent adverse events (OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.62 to 1.20).</p> <p>One study reported that patients taking zaleplon were less likely to suffer withdrawal symptoms on the first night of the placebo run-out phase than those on zolpidem (1.5 and 7.1% respectively; $P=0.01$).</p> <p>Combined results from two trials noted that patients receiving zaleplon were less likely to experience rebound insomnia compared to those receiving zolpidem (SL OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.17 to 0.44; sleep duration OR, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.15 to 0.41; and NAW OR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.18 to 0.61).</p> <p>In a XO, 62.3% of patients favored zolpidem compared to 37.7% of patients who favored zaleplon ($P=0.08$).</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>
<p>Elie et al⁵⁹</p> <p>Zaleplon 5, 10 or 20 mg or zolpidem 10 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, RCT</p> <p>Adults with primary insomnia or insomnia associated with mild nonpsychotic psychiatric disorders</p>	<p>N=615</p> <p>4 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Patient's assessment of SL</p> <p>Secondary: Patient's assessment of sleep duration,</p>	<p>Primary: Median SL was significantly lower with zaleplon 10 and 20 mg than with placebo during all four weeks of treatment, and with zaleplon 5 mg and zolpidem 10 mg for the first three weeks.</p> <p>Secondary: Zaleplon 20 mg significantly ($P\leq 0.05$) increased sleep duration compared to placebo in all but week three of the study, while zolpidem 10 mg significantly ($P\leq 0.05$) increased sleep duration at all time points.</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>After 28 days, all treatments were followed by placebo for 3 nights.</p>			<p>sleep quality, NAW, rebound insomnia, withdrawal effects, safety</p>	<p>Mean scores for sleep quality were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) better than with placebo during week one with zaleplon 10 and 20 mg, and for all weeks with zolpidem 10 mg.</p> <p>No significant differences were observed in NAW between the placebo and active treatment groups (P values not reported).</p> <p>The number of patients treated with zaleplon showing rebound insomnia was not significantly different from placebo on the first night after discontinuation of four weeks of treatment. Significant differences in SL ($P \leq 0.05$) and NAW ($P \leq 0.01$) were noted in patients treated with zolpidem 10 mg.</p> <p>On the second night after discontinuation of treatment, there were significantly more patients ($P \leq 0.05$) showing rebound insomnia for the NAW with zaleplon 10 and 20 mg than with placebo, and on the third night there were significantly fewer patients ($P \leq 0.05$) showing rebound for the NAW with zaleplon 20 mg.</p> <p>There was no evidence of withdrawal symptoms after discontinuation of four weeks of zaleplon treatment. Significantly more patients who had received zolpidem than placebo reported withdrawal effects on the first night after treatment was discontinued; however, there was no statistically significant difference on the second or third night between the two groups.</p> <p>The frequency of adverse events in the active treatment groups did not differ significantly from that in the placebo group.</p>
<p>Hindmarch et al⁶⁰</p> <p>Zolpidem, modified release 6.25 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>zolpidem modified release 12.5 mg</p> <p>vs</p>	<p>DB, DD, RCT, XO</p> <p>Healthy volunteers at least 65 years of age</p>	<p>N=24</p> <p>Single dose, treatment visits lasted 2 days and were separated by 28 to 42 days washout</p>	<p>Primary:</p> <p>Psychometric tests performed eight hours after study medication (CFF, CRT, word recall, CTT, DSST), subjective evaluation of sleep (LSEQ),</p>	<p>Primary:</p> <p>There were no significant differences in psychometric tests between either dose of zolpidem modified release and placebo ($P < 0.05$). Psychometric performance was significantly impaired ($P < 0.05$) with flurazepam compared to placebo for all tests with the exception of the DSST ($P = 0.0526$).</p> <p>Ease of falling asleep and sleep quality were significantly improved with both doses of zolpidem modified release and with flurazepam (all $P < 0.05$).</p> <p>Neither zolpidem modified release nor flurazepam modified perception of well-being on awakening (P values not reported).</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
flurazepam 30 mg vs placebo			safety, pharmacokinetics (zolpidem modified release only) Secondary: Not reported	The frequency of adverse events was similar in all four groups. None of the adverse events was serious or led to withdrawal from the study. The plasma concentration ratio was 1.96 between the two doses of zolpidem modified-release, which is consistent with dose linearity. Secondary: Not reported
Krystal et al ⁶¹ Zolpidem ER 12.5 mg vs placebo Treatments were taken 3 to 7 nights per week.	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients 18 to 64 years of age with chronic primary insomnia	N=1,025 26 weeks	Primary: Score on the PGI, Item 1, (aid to sleep) at week 12 of the treatment period in the ITT population Secondary: Scores on CGI-I, PGI, PMQ, TST, WASO, SOL, quality of sleep, and NAW in the ITT population	Primary: At week 12, PGI, Item 1 (aid to sleep) was scored as favorable (i.e., "helped me sleep") by 89.8% of zolpidem patients vs 51.4% of placebo patients ($P<0.0001$). Secondary: The percentage of patients who reported a treatment benefit on the PGI (Items 1 to 4) was higher in the zolpidem ER group compared to placebo at each four-week interval during the 24-week treatment period (all $P<0.0001$). The percentage of patients who obtained a positive evaluation on the CGI-I scale was greater in the zolpidem ER group compared to the placebo group at all four-week intervals during the 24-week treatment period (all $P<0.0001$). At every time point, results on the PMQ were greater for patients in the zolpidem ER group compared to the placebo group for the TST ($P<0.0001$), WASO ($P<0.0001$), SOL ($P\leq 0.0014$), quality of sleep ($P<0.0001$), and NAW (month one; $P=0.0515$, months two to six; $P<0.0001$). Patients in the zolpidem ER group demonstrated improvements in their ability to concentrate in the morning at each month throughout the treatment period, as compared to those in the placebo group (months 1 to 5, $P<0.0001$; month 6, $P=0.0014$). Patients in the zolpidem ER group had sustained reductions in their level of sleepiness in the morning compared to placebo at each month throughout the treatment period ($P<0.0001$).

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>Fava et al⁶²</p> <p>Zolpidem ER 12.5 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>Patients were also receiving OL escitalopram 10 mg daily.</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT</p> <p>Patients 21 to 64 years of age with major depressive disorder and associated insomnia</p>	<p>N=358</p> <p>24 weeks</p> <p>Two phases were included</p> <p>Phase 1 was 8 weeks; responders ($\geq 50\%$ in 17-item HDRS₁₇) at week 8 continued to receive an additional 16 weeks of therapy in phase 2</p>	<p>Primary: Change from baseline in subjective TST</p> <p>Secondary: Subjective LSO, NAW, WASO, sleep quality, sleep-related next-day functioning, HDRS₁₇ SIS score, PGI-IT, CGI-I, CGI-S, MGH-CPFQ, Q-LES-Q, safety</p>	<p>The most common adverse events occurring at a higher frequency in the zolpidem extended-release group than in the placebo group were headache, anxiety, somnolence, dizziness, fatigue, disturbance inattention, irritability, nausea, and sinusitis.</p> <p>Primary: <i>Phase 1</i> During phase 1, treatment with zolpidem ER led to significantly greater improvements in TST when compared to treatment with placebo ($P < 0.0001$).</p> <p><i>Phase 2</i> During phase 2, treatment with zolpidem ER led to improvements in TST that were significant at weeks 12 and 16 ($P < 0.05$ for both), but not at weeks 20 and 24 (P value not reported).</p> <p>Secondary: <i>Phase 1</i> Treatment with zolpidem ER led to significantly greater improvement in TST at each assessment. The LSM difference between the treatment groups in the change from baseline TST ranged from 37.9 to 45.5 minutes ($P < 0.0001$ for all comparisons). The group receiving zolpidem ER had a TST of approximately seven hours at week eight, compared to approximately five hours at baseline ($P < 0.0001$ vs placebo for improvement over baseline).</p> <p>Treatment with zolpidem ER led to significantly greater improvements in WASO, LSO, NAW, and sleep quality when compared to treatment with placebo ($P < 0.001$ for all comparisons at all time points). Total improvement in insomnia-only HDRS₁₇ was also significantly greater in the group receiving zolpidem ER compared to those receiving placebo ($P < 0.001$ for all time points).</p> <p>Treatment with zolpidem ER also produced favorable results on all domains of the SIS, except mental fatigue, when compared to treatment with placebo at week eight ($P < 0.05$). There were no significant differences at week eight between the two groups on the improvement in functioning and quality of life on the Q-LES-Q; however, at week eight, there were greater improvements seen in the MGH-CPFQ total score, wakefulness/alertness, energy, memory/recall, and mental acuity in those patients receiving zolpidem ER compared to those receiving placebo ($P < 0.05$). There were no</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>significant improvements found with zolpidem ER compared to placebo on motivation/enthusiasm, attention focus/sustain, or ability to find words, at week eight. Treatment with zolpidem ER was also associated with greater improvements than placebo in some aspects of sleep-related next-day functioning, including morning energy, sleep impact on daily activities, and morning concentration ability.</p> <p>Decreases seen in the HDRS₁₇ scores at week eight were comparable between the two treatment groups; at the end of phase 1 58.4 and 63.7% of patients in the placebo and zolpidem ER groups, respectively, met the criteria for depression treatment response.</p> <p>PGI-IT scores were superior in the group receiving zolpidem ER compared to those in the placebo group ($P<0.001$) and both CGI-S and CGI-I scores were comparable between the groups throughout phase 1.</p> <p><i>Phase 2</i> During phase 2, treatment with zolpidem ER continued to show significantly greater improvement at each visit in the NAW and sleep quality, when compared to treatment with placebo (P value not reported). For WASO, treatment with zolpidem ER resulted in significant improvements over treatment with placebo at weeks 16 and 20 and there were no significant differences between the treatment groups in LSO during phase 2 (P value not reported). The HDRS₁₇ total score of insomnia-only items demonstrated significantly greater improvement in the zolpidem ER group throughout phase 2 ($P<0.05$ for all time points).</p> <p>Treatment with zolpidem ER was associated with significant differences on all of the SIS domain scores at week 24, except mental fatigue ($P<0.05$). There were no differences between the groups in any of the MGH-CPFQ subscales at week 24 (P-value not reported).</p> <p>Treatment with zolpidem ER resulted in improvements over placebo on the physical health/activities and medication satisfaction subscales of Q-LES-Q ($P<0.05$); however, treatment with placebo resulted in improvements over zolpidem ER on the school/course work subscale ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>Both groups experienced improvements in depression treatment remission and</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>depression symptoms; however, these improvements were not significantly different between groups (<i>P</i> value not reported).</p> <p>PGI-IT scores indicated insomnia treatment was rated higher with zolpidem ER compared to placebo (<i>P</i><0.001). Ratings of severity and mental illness by clinicians were comparable between the two groups throughout phase 2.</p> <p>A greater percentage of patients treated with zolpidem ER experienced at least one adverse event during phase 1 when compared to patients treated with placebo (72.9 vs 66.3%; <i>P</i> value not reported). The most common adverse events that occurred more frequently in the group receiving zolpidem ER, compared to the placebo group, include nausea, somnolence, dry mouth, dizziness, fatigue, upper respiratory tract infection, and decreased libido. During phase 2, 57.3% of zolpidem ER-treated patients and 60% of placebo-treated patients experienced an adverse event (<i>P</i> value not reported). The most frequently reported events among both treatment groups include headache, diarrhea, and nasopharyngitis.</p>
<p>Fava et al⁶³</p> <p>Zolpidem ER 12.5 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>All patients received OL escitalopram 10 mg/day.</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT</p> <p>Patients 21 to 64 years of age with insomnia and comorbid GAD</p>	<p>N=383</p> <p>8 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: Change from baseline to week eight in subjective TST</p> <p>Secondary: Subjective SOL, NAW, WASO, sleep quality, HAMA, BAI, SIS, MGH-CPFQ, SDS, safety</p>	<p>Primary: At week eight, the mean TST increased from baseline by 106 minutes in the group receiving zolpidem ER and by 68.2 minutes in the placebo group (LSM in the change from baseline between groups 39.4 minutes, 90% CI, 24.81 to 53.99; <i>P</i><0.0001).</p> <p>Secondary: From week one through week eight, mean TST was significantly greater in the group receiving zolpidem ER when compared to those receiving placebo (<i>P</i><0.0001). Significant improvements in SOL, WASO, NAW, and quality of sleep were observed throughout the treatment period with zolpidem ER vs placebo based on the difference in LSM change from baseline (<i>P</i><0.0001 for all comparisons). Significant improvements were also seen with MSQ measures of sleep-related next-day symptoms, including morning energy, morning concentration, and impact of sleep on daily activities (<i>P</i><0.0001 for all comparisons).</p> <p>The change from baseline in PGI-IT for the zolpidem ER-treated group was significantly greater when compared to the placebo-treated group (<i>P</i><0.0001 for all comparisons). At week two, there was a significant difference in favor of treatment with zolpidem ER on all seven items of the SIS (<i>P</i><0.0001 for six comparisons; <i>P</i><0.01 for one comparison). This improvement was sustained to week eight on four</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>of the seven items: daily activities ($P=0.107$), emotional impact ($P<0.0001$), energy/fatigue ($P<0.001$), and satisfaction with sleep ($P<0.0001$).</p> <p>Between group differences in the total MGH-CPFQ score were significant at week four but not at week eight ($P=0.0586$). There were statistically significant differences between groups at one or both of the time points for three of seven items. There was statistically significantly greater improvement in the zolpidem ER group on three items (motivation, wakefulness/alertness, and energy) at week four ($P<0.05$) and on two items (wakefulness/alertness and energy) at week eight ($P<0.01$).</p> <p>The mean HAMA total scores decreased for both groups throughout the study. At week eight, HAMA total scores for both the group receiving zolpidem ER and the group receiving placebo showed comparable reductions (-13.3 vs -12.5, respectively; $P=0.4095$). Rates of treatment response in the group receiving zolpidem ER and the group receiving placebo were similar at week eight (63.4 vs 64.2%, respectively; $P=0.8564$).</p> <p>Both treatment groups demonstrated at least a 40% reduction in the BAI at week one and continued to improve throughout the study. By week six, there was a difference in favor of the placebo group that was also present at week eight.</p> <p>There were no significant differences in Q-LES-Q between groups at week eight and there were no significant differences between groups in SDS scores at any time point measured.</p> <p>Treatment-emergent adverse events that occurred in at least 10% of patients and either group but with a higher incidence in the group receiving zolpidem ER included dizziness, nausea, and fatigue. Six patients receiving zolpidem ER experienced seven events of non-global amnesia between two and 59 days of taking the study medication. One patient in each group experienced one serious adverse event. Laboratory values, vital signs, and physical examination findings revealed no meaningful changes or clinically relevant differences between groups.</p>
Erman et al ⁶⁴ zolpidem ER 12.5 mg	DB, PC, RCT (subset analysis) Adults under 65	N=1,012 24 weeks	Primary: Change from baseline to week 12 in the Time	Primary: At week 12, treatment with zolpidem ER 12.5 resulted in a 4.86 point reduction in the Output Scale (95% CI, -8.37 to -1.36; $P=0.0066$; ES, -0.21) and a 7.29 point reduction in the Time Management Scale (95% CI, -10.77 to -3.81; $P<0.0001$; ES, -0.31) vs

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>vs placebo</p> <p>Zolpidem ER or placebo was to be taken nightly or at least 3 times per week.</p>	<p>years of age with chronic insomnia</p>		<p>Management and Output scales of the WLQ</p> <p>Secondary: Change from baseline to week four and to week 24 in the Time Management and Output scales of the WLQ, or premature discontinuation</p>	<p>placebo.</p> <p>Secondary: At week four, scores for the Output Scale and the Time Management Scale were significantly lower than at baseline (<i>P</i> value not reported). The decrease was significantly greater with zolpidem ER than for placebo for both the Output Scale (-9.59; SE, 1.44 vs -2.16; SE, 1.61; <i>P</i><0.0001, ES, -0.33) and the Time Management Scale (-12.22; SE, 1.49 vs -3.85; SE, 1.68; <i>P</i><0.0001, ES, -0.36).</p>
<p>Roth et al⁶⁵</p> <p>Zolpidem 1.75 or 3.5 mg sublingual</p> <p>vs placebo</p> <p>Subjects were awakened 4 hours after lights out, dosed with zolpidem sublingual or placebo, kept awake for 30 minutes, and then returned to bed for 30 minutes.</p>	<p>DB, PC, XO</p> <p>Adults with insomnia characterized by difficulty returning to sleep following MOTN awakenings</p>	<p>82 subjects</p> <p>3 2-night treatment periods</p> <p>Each treatment period consisted of 2 consecutive nights of dosing separated by a washout of 5 to 12 days.</p>	<p>Primary: LPS following MOTN comparing zolpidem sublingual 3.5 mg to placebo</p> <p>Secondary: TST, SE, sleep quality, subjective SOL, subjective TST, and mean LPS for zolpidem sublingual 1.75 compared to placebo (all assessed after MOTN); according to the statistical</p>	<p>Primary: Treatment with zolpidem sublingual 3.5 mg resulted in a significant improvement in LPS after MOTN compared to treatment with placebo (9.69 vs 28.12 minutes; <i>P</i><0.001 vs placebo, <i>P</i><0.001 vs zolpidem sublingual 1.75 mg).</p> <p>Secondary: Treatment with zolpidem sublingual 1.75 mg resulted in a significant improvement in LPS after MOTN compared to treatment with placebo (16.89 vs 28.12 minutes; <i>P</i><0.001). Treatment with zolpidem sublingual 1.75 mg resulted in improvements in the following parameters: TST after MOTN (197.80 vs 183.12 minutes; <i>P</i><0.001), subjective SOL after MOTN (28.58 vs 40.43 minutes; <i>P</i><0.001), and subjective TST after MOTN (162.36 vs 148.61 minutes; <i>P</i><0.011). Treatment with zolpidem sublingual 3.5 mg resulted in improvements in the following parameters: TST after MOTN (208.99 vs 183.12 minutes; <i>P</i><0.001 vs placebo, <i>P</i>=0.005 vs zolpidem sublingual 1.75 mg), subjective SOL after MOTN (25.23 vs 40.43 minutes; <i>P</i><0.001), and subjective TST after MOTN (172.51 vs 148.61 minutes; <i>P</i><0.011). The endpoints of WASO after MOTN and NAW after MOTN failed to reach significance for either dose of zolpidem sublingual compared to placebo.</p> <p>Treatment with zolpidem sublingual 3.5 mg resulted in the greater improvement in sleep quality compared to treatment with placebo (<i>P</i><0.001) and compared to treatment with zolpidem sublingual 1.75 mg (<i>P</i>=0.018). Sleep quality ratings in the</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			analysis plan, if any test of a secondary endpoint did not attain statistical significance, then inferential analyses of secondary endpoints would cease and no further inferential assessment of remaining secondary endpoints would be made, safety	group receiving zolpidem sublingual 1.75 mg were not significantly different than the group receiving placebo. No serious adverse events occurred and no subject discontinued the study due to an adverse event. Out of the 82 included subjects, 14 reported an adverse event. All adverse events were mild in severity and transient.
<p>Staner et al⁶⁶</p> <p>Zolpidem 5 mg sublingual tablet vs zolpidem 10 mg sublingual tablet vs zolpidem 10 mg tablet</p>	<p>OL, RCT, XO</p> <p>Healthy volunteers in a post-nap model of insomnia</p>	<p>N=21</p> <p>Single dose</p>	<p>Primary: LPS, SOL, latency to stage 1, TST, SE, awakening after sleep onset, REM SL, stage 4 duration</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>Primary: For zolpidem 10 mg sublingual tablets, LPS was significantly decreased by 6.11 minutes as compared to zolpidem 10 mg tablets ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>Zolpidem 10 mg sublingual tablets decreased SOL by 5.81 minutes as compared to zolpidem 10 mg tablets ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>Zolpidem 10 mg sublingual tablets decreased latency to stage 1 by 6.17 minutes as compared to zolpidem 10 mg tablets ($P<0.05$).</p> <p>Similar differences were demonstrated for sleep initiation parameters between zolpidem 5 mg and 10 mg sublingual tablets (7.28 minute difference for LPS, 6.69 minute difference for SOL and 6.06 minute difference for latency to stage 1; all $P<0.05$). There were no significant differences in the three sleep initiation parameters between zolpidem 5 mg and 10 mg sublingual tablets.</p> <p>There were no significant differences between the three treatments for sleep maintenance parameters, including TST, SE or awakening after sleep onset. There were no differences in sleep maintenance between zolpidem 5 mg and 10 mg</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>sublingual tablets.</p> <p>Significant treatment effects were evidenced for REM SL and stage 4 duration. Both REM SL and stage 4 duration were similar with zolpidem 5 mg and 10 mg sublingual tablets. Both parameters were significantly shorter in patients receiving zolpidem 5 mg sublingual tablets compared to zolpidem 10 mg tablets (REM SL, -19.22 minutes; $P<0.01$, stage 4 duration, -11.89 minutes; $P<0.01$). There were no differences in sleep architecture between zolpidem 5 mg and 10 mg sublingual tablets.</p> <p>No differences were detected in subjective sleep parameters as indicated by a lack of significant treatment effect on any of the LSEQ variables. Next-day residual effects were comparable between treatments. Vigilance, psychomotor performances, attention and concentration were comparable between treatments.</p> <p>The most frequent adverse events were somnolence, headache and fatigue. All were of moderate or mild intensity and resolved spontaneously.</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>
<p>Staner et al⁶⁷</p> <p>Zolpidem 10 mg sublingual tablet</p> <p>vs</p> <p>zolpidem 10 mg tablet</p>	<p>DB, MC, RCT, XO</p> <p>Patients 18 to 65 years of age with primary insomnia</p>	<p>N=70</p> <p>Single dose</p>	<p>Primary: LPS, SOL, time spent in sleep stage 1</p> <p>Secondary: TST, WASO, SE index, total time spent awake, time spent in stage 2, time spent in slow wave sleep; time spent in REM sleep; REM SL, LSEQ, DSST, CFF Test</p>	<p>Primary: Zolpidem sublingual shortened the LPS by about 34% or 10.3 minutes ($P=0.001$), SOL with about 8.6 minutes ($P<0.01$) and time spent in sleep stage 1 with about 7.4 minutes ($P<0.01$) compared to zolpidem.</p> <p>Secondary: There were no significant differences on in TST and WASO among the treatment groups. The TST was 432 minutes for zolpidem sublingual and 425 minutes for zolpidem. WASO was 31 and 30 minutes for zolpidem sublingual and zolpidem, respectively.</p> <p>There was a significant difference in SE index ($P<0.05$) and total time spent awake ($P<0.05$), favoring zolpidem sublingual. No differences were found between the treatments for the sleep architecture parameters time spent in sleep stage 1, slow wave sleep, REM and REM SL. The difference found for time spent in stage 2 reached statistical significance ($P<0.05$), favoring zolpidem sublingual.</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>There were no significant differences in LSEQ scores among the treatment groups.</p> <p>There were no significant differences in the way patients rated their subjective feelings of alertness, contentedness and calmness on the visual analog scale. There were no significant differences in DSST between the two treatments. CFF Test results indicated that, during the descending runs, patients had a lower flicker fusion threshold after zolpidem sublingual than after zolpidem ($P<0.05$). There were no between-treatment differences for the ascending runs.</p> <p>Both routes of administration were well tolerated with a similar overall incidence of adverse events. The most common adverse events with zolpidem sublingual were somnolence and dysgeusia. Nausea, dysgeusia, somnolence and dizziness were the most common adverse events with zolpidem.</p>
<p>Roehrs et al⁶⁸</p> <p>Zolpidem 10 mg vs placebo</p>	<p>DB, PC, RCT</p> <p>Patients 21 to 70 years of age with primary insomnia</p>	<p>N=33</p> <p>12 months</p>	<p>Primary: Number of zolpidem or placebo choices made, total number of zolpidem or placebo capsules chosen, and given a placebo or zolpidem choice on a given night, the nightly number of capsules taken</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>Primary: On weekly telephone interviews, patients reported taking 73 to 89% of the single nightly capsules each month while at home. The groups did not differ in the average percentage of capsules used over the 12 months (placebo, 81% vs zolpidem, 84%).</p> <p>Over the three one-week laboratory self-administration assessments, the zolpidem group selected zolpidem (80.3%) more often than placebo ($P<0.020$). The placebo group showed no color preference, choosing the red capsule 51% of opportunities and the blue capsule 49% of opportunities.</p> <p>Overall, the zolpidem group self-administered more zolpidem capsules than placebo capsules ($P<0.001$). In the zolpidem group, the total number of capsules chosen, whether placebo or zolpidem, did not differ over months one, four, and 12. The total number of placebo capsules self-administered by the placebo group increased significantly during month four and month 12 compared to month one ($P<0.02$).</p> <p>Within the zolpidem group, the nightly number of placebo vs zolpidem capsules self-administered each month did not differ. On average, the zolpidem group self-administered a 9.1 mg dose nightly in month one, a 9.4 mg dose in month four, and a 9.4 mg dose in month 12. In the placebo group, the nightly number of capsules increased over time ($P<0.02$).</p> <p>The percent of patients increasing the dose did not differ between the zolpidem and</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				<p>placebo groups and did not change from month four to month 12. A significantly greater percent of patients receiving zolpidem compared to placebo decreased the dose they self-administered in month four and month 12 compared to month one ($P<0.001$).</p> <p>The self-administration rates did not differ when at the laboratory vs at home for patients receiving zolpidem. These rates also did not differ over the three assessments.</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>
<p>Roth et al⁶⁹</p> <p>Zolpidem 5, 7.5, 10, 15, 20 mg</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>Statistical analyses were primarily performed between zolpidem 7.5 and 10 mg and placebo.</p>	<p>DB, PC, PG, RCT</p> <p>Healthy adult volunteers with transient insomnia</p>	<p>N=462</p> <p>Single dose</p>	<p>Primary: SL, sleep duration, SE (TST divided by time in bed) NAW (sleep maintenance), effect on sleep stages, next day psychomotor performance and alertness (DSST, Symbol Copying Tests, Visual Analog Scales on the Morning Questionnaire)</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>Primary: Compared to placebo, zolpidem 7.5 and 10 mg significantly decreased SL, increased sleep duration and efficiency, and reduced the NAW (all $P<0.05$). Subjective quality of sleep was also rated significantly better with both doses of zolpidem compared to placebo (both $P<0.001$). Increasing the dose above 10 mg did not result in a corresponding increase in hypnotic efficacy.</p> <p>Treatment with zolpidem had no effect on stage 1, stage 2 and stages 3 to 4 sleep. Significantly less REM sleep was reported in the zolpidem groups compared to the placebo group (both $P<0.001$).</p> <p>Zolpidem 7.5 or 10 mg had no significant effect on next day psychomotor performance and alertness.</p> <p>No statistically significant differences in the overall side effects were found between zolpidem doses of 7.5 mg (4.9%) or 10 mg (6.7%) and placebo (7.8%). Higher doses of zolpidem were associated with more side effects (17.6% with 15 mg [$P=0.069$ vs placebo] and 31.4% with 20 mg [$P<0.001$ vs placebo]).</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>
<p>Scharf et al⁷⁰</p> <p>Zolpidem 10 or 15 mg</p>	<p>DB, MC, PC, PG, RCT</p> <p>Adults with</p>	<p>N=75</p> <p>5 weeks</p>	<p>Primary: LPS, SE, sleep maintenance, sleep quality,</p>	<p>Primary: Zolpidem had a significant ($P<0.05$) effect on LPS and SE from weeks two through five in the 10-mg group and at weeks two through six in the 15-mg group.</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>vs placebo</p> <p>Patients were randomized to receive either zolpidem or placebo for 35 nights, followed by placebo for 3 additional nights.</p>	<p>chronic insomnia</p>		<p>effects on sleep stages, residual drug effects, safety</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>Polysomnographic measures of sleep maintenance were not significantly different among the three treatment groups ($P>0.05$).</p> <p>Patients receiving zolpidem 15 mg reported significantly better quality of sleep than those receiving the 10 mg dose at week two and placebo at week five.</p> <p>Stages 1, 2, and 3 to 4 sleep were not significantly affected by either the 10- or 15-mg doses of zolpidem compared to placebo. However, there were significant ($P<0.05$) decreases in REM sleep at weeks three and four with zolpidem 15 mg compared to placebo.</p> <p>There was no evidence of residual effect with zolpidem 10 or 15 mg.</p> <p>There was no evidence of tolerance at either dose. The only significant treatment difference was in the percent of time in Stage 3 to 4 sleep ($P<0.05$ for both zolpidem doses compared to placebo).</p> <p>There were no significant treatment differences between the 10-mg zolpidem group and the placebo group in LPS, SE, WTDS or sleep quality during the post treatment period when zolpidem was discontinued. The 15-mg zolpidem group did not differ significantly from the placebo group on LPS or SE on the first night post treatment, but did result in a significantly greater WTDS and poorer quality of sleep ($P<0.05$ compared to placebo) during the first night post treatment. Comparison of the subsequent two nights post treatment showed no significant differences between zolpidem 15 mg and placebo on any of these variables.</p> <p>Overall, the incidence of treatment emergent adverse events in the zolpidem groups was similar to those in the placebo group. While none of the adverse events were severe, two patients in the 15-mg zolpidem group withdrew from the study: one patient experienced drowsiness, dizziness, and nausea; and one patient experienced visual disturbance and over sedation.</p> <p>The 15-mg zolpidem dosage provided no clinical advantage over the 10 mg zolpidem dosage.</p> <p>Secondary:</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>Holbrook et al⁷¹</p> <p>Benzodiazepines (triazolam: 16 trials, flurazepam: 14 trials, temazepam: 13 trials, midazolam: 5 trials, nitrazepam*: 4 trials, estazolam: 2 trials, lorazepam, diazepam, brotizolam*, quazepam, loprazolam* and flunitrazepam*: 1 trial)</p> <p>vs</p> <p>zopiclone*: 13 trials</p> <p>or</p> <p>diphenhydramine, glutethimide, promethazine: 1 trial</p> <p>or</p> <p>cognitive behavioral therapy: 1 trial</p> <p>or</p> <p>placebo: 4 trials</p>	<p>MA</p> <p>Patients with insomnia receiving benzodiazepines as compared to placebo or an active agent</p>	<p>45 trials</p> <p>N=2,672</p> <p>Duration varied (1 day to 6 weeks, mean 12.2 days)</p>	<p>Primary: Sleep latency, total sleep duration, adverse effects, dropout rates, cognitive function decline</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>Not reported</p> <p>Primary: Using sleep records, benzodiazepines demonstrated a decrease in sleep latency by 4.2 minutes compared to placebo, though not significant (95% CI, -0.7 to 9.2).</p> <p>Benzodiazepines demonstrated a significant increase in sleep duration compared to placebo by 61.8 minutes (95% CI, 37.4 to 86.2).</p> <p>Benzodiazepines were more likely than placebo to be associated with complaints of daytime drowsiness (OR, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.8 to 3.4), dizziness or lightheadedness (OR, 2.6; 95% CI, 0.7 to 10.3); no difference was observed in dropout rates between the two groups.</p> <p>Pooled results from 3 trials indicated there was no significant difference between benzodiazepines and zopiclone in sleep latency, but benzodiazepine therapy may lead to a longer sleep by 23.1 minutes (95% CI, 5.6 to 40.6).</p> <p>There was a nonsignificant difference in terms of adverse events (OR, 1.5; 95% CI, 0.8 to 2.9).</p> <p>Comparisons between benzodiazepines and antihistamines did not detect any significant differences on sleep outcomes.</p> <p>In 1 trial where a benzodiazepine was compared to behavioral therapy, triazolam was found to be more effective in reducing sleep latency early in the trial, but efficacy decreased by the second week of treatment. Behavioral therapy efficacy was maintained throughout the 9-week follow-up.</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
<p>Smith et al⁷²</p> <p>Benzodiazepines (flurazepam, quazepam, triazolam, lorazepam, midazolam): 6 trials</p> <p>or</p> <p>benzodiazepine receptor agonists (zolpidem, zopiclone*): 2 trials</p> <p>vs</p> <p>behavioral treatment: 14 trials</p> <p>vs</p> <p>placebo</p> <p>One trial directly compared pharmacotherapy with a benzodiazepine (temazepam) and behavioral therapy.</p>	<p>MA</p> <p>Patients with primary insomnia for 1 month or longer</p>	<p>21 trials</p> <p>N=470</p> <p>Duration varied (<1 week to 10 weeks)</p>	<p>Primary: SL, TST, NAW, WASO, and sleep quality before and after treatment</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>	<p>Primary: SL was reduced by 30% with pharmacological treatment compared to 43% with behavioral interventions.</p> <p>Pharmacotherapy increased TST by 12% and behavior therapy by 6%.</p> <p>Both pharmacotherapy and behavior therapy reduced NAW per night by 1.</p> <p>WASO was reduced by 46% with pharmacotherapy and by 56% with behavior therapy.</p> <p>Pharmacotherapy improved sleep quality by 20% and behavior therapy by 28%.</p> <p>Overall, there were no differences in TST, NAW, WASO, and sleep quality between benzodiazepine receptor agonists and behavioral therapy. The behavioral therapy group had a greater reduction in LSO than the group that took the benzodiazepine receptor agonists (95% CI, 0.17 to 1.04)</p> <p>Secondary: Not reported</p>
<p>Nowell et al⁷³</p> <p>Benzodiazepines (estazolam: 6 trials, flurazepam: 10 trials,</p>	<p>MA of 22 trials (from 1978-1996); DB, PC, RCT, XO</p> <p>Adults <65 years</p>	<p>22 trials</p> <p>N=1,894</p> <p>Median</p>	<p>Primary: SL, TST, NAW, sleep quality</p> <p>Secondary:</p>	<p>Primary: Zolpidem and benzodiazepines were significantly more effective than placebo with regard to SL, TST, NAW and sleep quality ($P<0.001$).</p> <p>Secondary:</p>

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
lorazepam: 1 trial, quazepam: 3 trials, temazepam: 3 trials, triazolam: 4 trials) or zolpidem: 5 trials) vs placebo	of age with chronic insomnia	duration of 7 days, range 4 to 35 days	Not reported	Not reported Note: This MA did not compare the efficacy of zolpidem to benzodiazepines.
Buscemi et al ⁷⁴ Benzodiazepines (52 trials including brotizolam‡, estazolam, flunitrazepam*, flurazepam, loprazolam*, lorazepam, lormetazepam*, nitrazepam*, quazepam, temazepam and triazolam) or nonbenzodiazepines (48 trials including eszopiclone, gaboxadol*, indiplon*, zaleplon, zolpidem and zopiclone*) or	MA of 105 trials (up to July 2006); DB, PC, RCT Adults with chronic insomnia	105 trials N varied, range 6 to 1,507 Duration varied (1 night to 6 months)	Primary: SL, WASO, SE, sleep quality, TST, adverse events Secondary: Not reported	Primary: SL assessed by PSG was significantly decreased for benzodiazepines (WMD, -10.0 minutes; 95% CI, -16.6 to -3.4), nonbenzodiazepines (WMD, -12.8 minutes; 95% CI, -16.9 to -8.8) and antidepressants (WMD, -7.0 minutes; 95% CI, -10.7 to -3.3). SL assessed by sleep diaries was also significantly improved for benzodiazepines (WMD, -19.6 minutes; 95% CI, -23.9 to -15.3), nonbenzodiazepines (WMD, -17.0 minutes; 95% CI, -20.0 to -14.0) and antidepressants (WMD, -12.2 minutes; 95% CI, -22.3 to -2.2). MA for WASO, SE, sleep quality and TST measured by PSG and sleep diary were statistically significant and favored benzodiazepines and nonbenzodiazepines vs placebo with the exception of PSG studies measuring WASO and TST, which were marginally nonsignificant. In contrast, PSG results significantly favored antidepressants vs placebo, but sleep diary results were fewer and nonsignificantly favored antidepressants for WASO and nonsignificantly favored placebo for TST (<i>P</i> values were not reported). Indirect comparisons between benzodiazepines and nonbenzodiazepines resulted in no significant difference in SL; however, benzodiazepines were associated with more adverse events (<i>P</i> value not reported). Indirect comparisons between benzodiazepines and antidepressants resulted in no significant difference in SL or adverse events (<i>P</i> values not reported). Indirect comparisons between nonbenzodiazepines and antidepressants resulted in a significantly greater SL assessed by PSG but not by sleep diary for

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
antidepressants (8 trials including doxepin, pivalgabine*, trazodone and trimipramine) vs placebo (105 trials) Some trials had multiple treatment arms.				nonbenzodiazepines. There was no significant difference in adverse events (<i>P</i> values were not reported). All drug groups had a statistically significant higher risk of harm (more adverse events) compared to placebo, although the most commonly reported adverse events were minor. Risk differences were 0.15, 0.07 and 0.09 for the benzodiazepines, nonbenzodiazepines and antidepressants, respectively, compared to placebo. The adverse events most commonly reported in these studies were headache, drowsiness, dizziness and nausea. Secondary: Not reported

*Not available in the United States.

Drug regimen abbreviations: ER=extended release

Study abbreviations: AC=active control, CI=confidence interval, DB=double-blind, DD=double dummy, ITT=intent to treat, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OL=open-label, OR=odds ratio, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, PRO=prospective, RCT=randomized controlled trial, SB=single-blind, SD=single dose, XO=crossover, WMD=weighted mean difference

Miscellaneous abbreviations: APAP=auto-titrating positive airway pressure, BAI=Beck Anxiety Inventory, CAPS=Clinician Administered PTSD Scale, CES-D=Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale, CFF=Critical Flicker Fusion, CGI=Clinical Global Impression, CGI-I=Clinical Global Impression-Improvement, CGI-S=Clinical Global Impressions-Severity, CRT=Choice Reaction Time, CTT=Continuous Tracking Test, DLRF=Daily Living and Role Functioning, DSST=Digit-Symbol Substitution Test, ECG=electrocardiogram, ES=effect size, ESS=Epworth Sleepiness Scale, FOSQ=Functional Outcomes of Sleepiness Questionnaire, FSS=Fatigue Severity Scale, GAD=Generalized Anxiety Disorder, HAMA=Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety, HAM-D=Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, HDRS₁₇=Hamilton Depression Rating Scale 17-item, HRQOL=health-related quality of life, ISI=Insomnia Severity Index, IVRS=interactive voice response system, LARS=Leeds Analogue Rating Scales, LPS=latency to persistent sleep, LSAS=Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale, LSEQ=Leeds Sleep Evaluation Questionnaire, LSM=least squares mean, LSO=latency to sleep onset, MADRS=Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale, MCBI=Multidimensional Caregiver Burden Inventory, MENQOL=Menopause-Related Quality of Life, MGH-CFPQ=Massachusetts General Hospital Cognitive and Physical Functioning Questionnaire, MOTN=middle-of-the-night awakening, MSQ=Morning Sleep Questionnaire, NAW=number of awakenings, PDQ-8=Parkinson Disease Questionnaire Short Form, PGI=Patient Global Impression, PGI-IT= Patient Global Impression of Insomnia Treatment, PMQ=Patient Morning Questionnaire, PSG=polysomnography, PSQI=Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index, PSQ-IVRS=Post-Sleep Questionnaire Interactive Voice Response System, PTSD=posttraumatic stress disorder, Q-LES-Q=Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire, REM=rapid eye movement, SDS=Sheehan Disability Scale, SE=sleep efficiency, SF-36=Short Form-36, SII=Sleep Impairment Index, SIS=Sleep Impact Scale, SL=sleep latency, SOL=sleep onset latency, SOT=Sensory Organization Test, SPRINT=Short PTSD Rating Interview, SQTT=Step Quick Turn Test, sSL=subject reported sleep latency, SSRI=selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitor, sTST=subject reported total sleep time, sWASO=subjective wake time after sleep onset, TST=total sleep time, UPDRS=Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale, WASO=wake time after sleep onset, WLQ=Work Life Questionnaire, WTAS= wake time after sleep (time from last epoch of sleep until the end of 8 hour recording period), WTDS=wake time during sleep

Special Populations**Table 5. Special Populations**⁷⁻²¹

Generic Name	Population and Precaution				
	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
Doxepin	In the elderly reduce dose to 3 mg; can increase to 6 mg, if clinically indicated. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Effects have not been evaluated.	Patients may display higher concentrations of doxepin than healthy patients; initiate treatment with 3 mg and monitor closely for adverse daytime effects.	C	Yes; use with caution.
Estazolam	No overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult subjects. Safety and efficacy in patients <18 years old have not been established.	Not studies in renal dysfunction.	Not studies in hepatic dysfunction.	X	Unknown; use is not recommended.
Eszopiclone	For elderly patients with primary complaint of difficulty falling asleep, start with 1 mg and increase to 2 mg if clinically indicated; for those with a primary complaint of difficulty staying asleep, use 2 mg. Safety and efficacy in patients <18 years old have not been established.	No dose adjustment required.	Severe hepatic impairment; use with caution; start with 1 mg and do not increase above 2 mg. Mild-to-moderate impairment; no dose adjustment required.	C	Unknown; use with caution.
Flurazepam	Recommended dose is 15 mg for the elderly. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	No dose adjustment required.	Recommended dose is 15 mg.	C	Unknown; use with caution.
Quazepam	Begin dosing on lower end of dosing range for the elderly. Safety and efficacy in	Not studies in renal dysfunction.	Recommended dose is 7.5 to 15 mg.	X	Yes; use is not recommended.

Generic Name	Population and Precaution				
	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk
	patients <18 years old have not been established.				
Ramelteon	No overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult subjects. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	No dose adjustment required.	Severe hepatic impairment; use is not recommended. Moderate hepatic impairment; use with caution.	C	Unknown; use with caution.
Temazepam	Recommended dose is 7.5 mg in patients ≥65 years of age. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	No dose adjustment required.	No dose adjustment required.	X	Yes; use is not recommended.
Triazolam	The recommended dose in the elderly is 0.125 mg, may increase to a maximum of 0.25 mg. Safety and efficacy in patients <18 years old have not been established.	No dose adjustment required.	The recommended dose is 0.125 mg.	X	Yes; use is not recommended.
Zaleplon	Recommended dose in the elderly is 5 mg; doses over 10 mg are not recommended. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	No dose adjustment required.	Severe hepatic impairment; use is not recommended. Mild to moderate hepatic impairment; use 5 mg.	C	Yes; do not use.
Zolpidem	Recommended dose is 5 mg*, 6.25 mg [†] , or 1.75 mg [‡] ; monitor patients closely. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	No dose adjustment required.	Recommended dose is 5 mg*, 6.25 mg [†] , or 1.75 mg [‡] .	C	Yes; use with caution.

* Ambien® (zolpidem), Edluar® (zolpidem sublingual), and Zolpimist® (zolpidem oral mist).

† Ambien CR® (zolpidem extended release).

‡ Intermezzo® (zolpidem sublingual); dose provided is for both men and women.

Pregnancy Category C=Risk cannot be ruled out. Animal reproduction studies have shown an adverse effect on the fetus and there are no adequate and well-controlled studies in humans, but potential benefits may warrant use of the drug in pregnant women despite potential risks.

Adverse Drug Events**Table 6. Adverse Drug Events (%)⁷⁻²¹**

Adverse Event(s)	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
Cardiovascular Disorders										
Arrhythmia	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blood pressure increased	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Chest discomfort	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Chest pain	-	1	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	1 ^{†§}
Electroencephalogram changes	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertension	<1 to 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypotension	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Palpitations	-	a	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	2 ^{†§}
Tachycardia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5 to 0.9	-	-
Infections and Infestations										
Infection	-	-	5 to 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Influenza	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3*
Influenza-like illness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†] , 2 ^{†§}
Viral infection	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eye Disorders										
Abnormal vision	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 2	-
Altered visual depth perception	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Asthenopia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Diplopia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eye pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 to 4	-
Eye redness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2*
Hyperacusis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 to 2	-
Visual disturbance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5 to 0.9	-	3*
Vision blurred	-	-	-	a	-	-	1.3	-	-	2*
Ear and Labyrinth Disorders										
Ear pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 1	-
Labyrinthitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Otitis externa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Vertigo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2*
Tinnitus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	1*

Adverse Event(s)	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders										
Appetite disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Dysmenorrhea	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3 to 4	-
Gynecomastia	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Menorrhagia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Peripheral edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 1	-
Gastrointestinal Disorders										
Abdominal discomfort	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	1*
Abdominal pain	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	2 ^{†S}
Anorexia	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	<1 to 2	-
Colitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 2	-
Constipation	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	0.5	-	1*, 2 ^{†S}
Cramps	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5 to 0.9	-	-
Diarrhea	-	-	2 to 4 [#]	a	-	-	1.7	0.5	-	3 ^{†S}
Dyspepsia	-	2	4 to 5, 2 to 6 [#]	a	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Flatulence	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Frequent bowel movements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Gastrointestinal pain	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastroenteritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Gastrointestinal disorders	0 to 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4**, 7*
Gastroesophageal reflux disease	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Nausea	2	4	4 to 5	a	-	2	3.1	4.6	6 to 8	1**, 2*,
Vomiting	-	-	3	a	-	-	-	4.6	-	1*, 1 [†]
Musculoskeletal										
Back pain	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 [†] , 3 ^{†S} , 4*
Body pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Joint pain	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Myalgia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4*, 2 [†]
Muscle stiffness	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neck injury	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Neck pain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*, 2 [†]
Pain	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	0.5 to 1.9	-	-
Nervous System Disorders										
Abnormal coordination	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Adverse Event(s)	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
Abnormal dreams	-	2	1 to 3 [#]	-	-	-	1.2	0.5	-	1 ^{‡§}
Abnormal thoughts	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agitation	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amnesia	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 to 4	1 ^{‡§}
Apprehension	-	a	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Anxiety	-	a	1 to 3	-	-	-	2	-	-	2*, 3 [†]
Apathy	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Ataxia	-	a	-	a	-	-	-	4.6	-	1*
Balance disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2*
Binge eating	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Bitter taste	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burning sensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Circumoral paresthesia	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
Confusion	-	2	3	a	-	-	1.3	0.5 to 0.9	<1 to 1	-
Daytime drowsiness	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-
Decreased libido	-	a	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Decreased reflexes	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Depersonalization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 2	1*
Depressed mood	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Depression	-	2	1 to 4	a	-	-	1.7	0.5 to 0.9	-	2*, 2 ^{‡§}
Difficulty focusing	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Disinhibition	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Disorientation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3*
Disturbance in attention	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2*
Dizziness	-	7	5 to 7, 1 to 6 [#]	a	1.5	3	4.5	7.8	7 to 9	5 ^{‡§} , 8 [†] , 12*
Dizziness, postural	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Drowsiness	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.1	14	-	-
Drugged feeling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 ^{‡§}
Dysesthesia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
Euphoric mood	-	-	-	a	-	-	1.5	0.5 to 0.9	-	1*
Excitement	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fatigue	-	-	-	-	1.9	2	-	-	-	1**, 3*
Hallucinations	-	-	1 to 3	a	-	-	-	-	<1 to 1	4*
Hangover	-	3	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	-	-
Headache	-	16	17 to 21, 13	a	4.5	-	8.5	9.7	30 to 42	3**, 7 ^{‡§} ,

Adverse Event(s)	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
			to 15 [#]							14 [†] , 19 [*]
Insomnia	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	0.5	-	-
Involuntary muscle contractions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Irritability	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertonia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Hypoesthesia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 2	2 [*]
Hypokinesia	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lethargy	-	-	-	a	-	-	4.5	-	-	3 ^{‡§}
Lightheadedness	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	4.9	-	2 ^{‡§}
Malaise	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 2	-
Memory disorders/impairment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5 to 0.9	-	1 [†] , 3 [*]
Mood swings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [*]
Nervousness	-	8	5, 2 [#]	a	-	-	4.6	5.2	-	-
Nervous system disorders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 ^{**}
Neuralgia	-	-	3 [#]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pain	-	-	4 to 5 [#]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paresthesia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1 [*] , 1 [†]
Photosensitivity reaction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Psychomotor retardation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 [*] , 2 [†]
Pyrexia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Restlessness	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sedation	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sleep disorder	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 ^{‡§}
Slurred speech	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somnolence	6 to 9	42	8 to 10	a	-	2	-	-	5 to 6	6 [†] , 8 ^{‡§} , 15 [*]
Stress symptoms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [*]
Syncope	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Talkativeness	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tiredness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5 to 0.9	-	-
Tremor	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1 [†]
Weakness	-	-	-	a	-	-	1.4	0.5	-	-
Vertigo	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2	-	<1 to 1	-

Adverse Event(s)	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
Respiratory Disorders										
Congestion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
Chest congestion	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Epistaxis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 1	-
Lower respiratory tract infection	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Nasopharyngitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6 [†]
Pharyngitis	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 ^{‡§}
Shortness of breath	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sinusitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 ^{‡§}
Throat irritation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Upper respiratory tract infection	2 to 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue Disorders										
Allergic skin reaction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
Dermatologic symptoms	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
Flushing	-	a	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pruritus	-	1	1 to 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rash	-	-	3 to 4	a	-	-	-	-	-	1*, 1 [†] , 2 [‡]
Skin wrinkling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Urticaria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*, 1 [†]
Other										
Accidental injury	-	-	3 [#]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allergy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4 ^{‡§}
Asthenia	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 to 7	1*
Body temperature increased	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Contusion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1*
Elevated alkaline phosphatase	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated bilirubin, direct	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated bilirubin, total	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated eosinophils	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated lymphocytes	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated monocytes	-	-	-	-	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated serum glutamic	-	-	-	a	1.3	-	-	-	-	-

Adverse Event(s)	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
oxaloacetic transaminase										
Elevated serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated urine epithelial cell level	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-
Elevated urine white blood cell level	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	-
Dry mouth	-	a	5 to 7, 3 to 7 [#]	a	-	-	1.7	0.5	-	3 ^{‡§}
Dry throat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
Dysuria	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]
General disorders and administration site conditions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 ^{**}
Genitourinary complaints	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Granulocytopenia	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hepatic dysfunction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-
Leukopenia	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-
Low hematocrit	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	-
Low hemoglobin	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	-	-
Low lymphocyte level	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	-	-
Parosmia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1 to 2	-
Unpleasant taste	-	-	13 to 34, 8 to 12 [#]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Urinary tract infection	-	-	3 [#]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vulvovaginal dryness	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 [†]

* Ambien CR[®] (zolpidem extended-release).

† Ambien CR[®] (zolpidem extended-release), elderly patients (age not specified).

‡ Edluar[®] (zolpidem sublingual) and Zolpimist[®] (zolpidem oral mist).

§ Ambien[®] (zolpidem).

|| Gender-specific adverse event in females.

†† Gender-specific adverse event in males.

Adverse event rate in elderly patients.

** Intermezzo[®] (zolpidem sublingual).

Contraindications

Table 7. Contraindications⁷⁻²¹

Contraindication	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
Coadministration with monoamine oxidase inhibitor	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Do not take in conjunction with fluvoxamine	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-
Hypersensitivity to doxepin, any of its inactive ingredients, or other dibenoxepines	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypersensitivity to the active ingredient or any excipients in the formulation	-	a	-	a	a	-	a	a	a	a
Patients who develop angioedema after treatment should not be rechallenged	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-
Strong inhibitors of cytochrome P450 3A4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-
Suspected or established sleep apnea	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-
Untreated narrow angle glaucoma or severe urinary retention	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Women who are or may become pregnant	-	a	-	-	a	-	a	a	-	-

Boxed Warnings

Boxed Warning for Silenor® (doxepin)⁷

WARNING

Suicidality in children and adolescents:
Antidepressants increased the risk of suicidal thinking and behavior (suicidality) in short-term studies in children and adolescents with major depressive disorder and other psychiatric disorders. Anyone considering the use of doxepin or any other antidepressant in a child or adolescent must balance this risk with the clinical need. Patients who

WARNING

are started on therapy should be observed closely for clinical worsening, suicidality, or unusual changes in behavior. Families and caregivers should be advised of the need for close observation and communication with the prescriber. Doxepin is not approved for use in pediatric patients.

Pooled analyses of short-term (four to 16 weeks), placebo-controlled trials of nine antidepressant drugs (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor and others) in children and adolescents with major depressive disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, or other psychiatric disorders (a total of 24 trials involving more than 4,400 patients) have revealed a greater risk of adverse reactions representing suicidal thinking or behavior (suicidality) during the first few months of treatment in those receiving antidepressants. The average risk of such reactions in patients receiving antidepressants was 4%, twice the placebo risk of 2%. No suicides occurred in these trials.

Warnings and Precautions

Table 8. Warnings and Precautions⁷⁻²¹

Warning/Precaution	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
A variety of abnormal thinking and behavior changes have been reported to occur in association with the use of sedative-hypnotics	-	-	a	-	-	a	a	-	-	a
Abnormal thinking and behavior changes; the emergence of any new behavioral sign or symptom of concern required careful and immediate evaluation	a	-	a	-	-	-	a	a	a	a
Anterograde amnesia of varying severity and paradoxical reactions have been reported following therapeutic doses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-
Central nervous system depressant effects; due to the rapid onset of action, should only be taken immediately prior to going to bed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a
Central nervous system depressant effects; due to	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	a	-

Warning/Precaution	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
the rapid onset of action, should only be taken immediately prior to going to bed or after the patient has going to bed and has experienced difficulty falling asleep										
Central nervous system depressant effects; should not be taken with alcohol and dose adjustments may be required when coadministered with other central nervous system depressants	-	a	a	-	-	-	a	-	a	a
Central nervous system depressant effects; use with other sedative-hypnotics at bedtime or in the middle of the night is not recommended	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a [†]
Central nervous system effects; patients should avoid engaging in hazardous activities that require concentration, should confine their activities to those necessary to prepare for bed, and should not consume alcohol in combination	a	a	-	a	-	a	a	a	-	-
Coadministration with potent cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitors; dose should be reduced	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Complex behaviors such as "sleep driving"* and other	-	-	-	-	a	a	-	-	-	-

Warning/Precaution	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
complex behaviors have been reported; discontinuation should be strongly considered for patients who report any complex sleep behavior										
Complex behaviors such as "sleep driving"* have been reported with sedative-hypnotics; discontinuation should be strongly considered for patients who report a "sleep driving" episode	a	-	a	-	a	-	a	-	a	a
Daytime anxiety has been reported with continued use in some patients	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-
End-stage renal failure patients; no dose adjustment required, but patients should be closely monitored	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a ‡§ ¶
Hepatic impairment; patients should be closely monitored	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a ‡§ ¶
Impaired motor/cognitive performance may occur following several days of repeated use due to accumulation of the active drug and its metabolites	-	a	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-
In primarily depressed patients, worsening of depression, including suicidal thoughts and actions, has been reported	a	a	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	a
Need to evaluate for co-morbid diagnoses;	a	-	-	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Warning/Precaution	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
symptomatic treatment of insomnia should be initiated only after a careful evaluation of the patient										
Patients with compromised respiratory function; precautions should be taken due to potential for depression of respiratory drive	-	a	a	-	a	-	-	-	a	a
Patients with sleep apnea syndrome or myasthenia gravis; use with caution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a
Reproductive effects; associated with an effect on reproductive hormones in adults	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-
Risk of next day driving impairment; risk increased if used with less than four hours of bedtime remaining, if higher than recommended dose is taken, if coadministered with other drugs that increase blood levels	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	a [†]
Severe anaphylactic and anaphylactoid reactions, including rare cases of angioedema involving the tongue, glottis or larynx, have been reported in patients taking first or subsequent doses of sedative-hypnotics; patients who develop angioedema after treatment should not be rechallenged with the	-	-	a	-	a	a	a	-	a	a

Warning/Precaution	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
drug										
Severe hepatic impairment; use not recommended	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	a	-
Signs and symptoms similar to those associated with withdrawal from other central nervous system-depressant drugs have been reported following rapid dose decrease or abrupt discontinuation	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	a	a
Sleep apnea; use not recommended	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-
Taking while still up and about may result in short-term memory impairment, hallucinations, impaired coordination, dizziness, and lightheadedness	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	a	-
The usual precautions should be observed in patients with impaired renal or hepatic function and chronic pulmonary insufficiency	-	-	-	a	-	-	a	a	-	-
Use in patients with a history of abuse or addiction; use caution due to the risk of habituation and dependence	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Use in patients with concomitant illness; caution is advisable in using in patients with diseases or conditions that could affect metabolism or hemodynamic responses	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	a	a †§ †

Warning/Precaution	Doxepin	Estazolam	Eszopiclone	Flurazepam	Quazepam	Ramelteon	Temazepam	Triazolam	Zaleplon	Zolpidem
Use in patients with depression; use with caution and use the least amount of drug that is feasible	-	a	a	a	a	-	a	a	a	a
Use in the elderly and/or debilitated patients; patients should be closely monitored	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	a	a ‡§ ¶
Withdrawal symptoms have been reported following abrupt discontinuation of treatment	-	a	-	a	a	-	a	a	-	-

* Sleep driving consists of driving while not fully awake after ingestion of a sedative-hypnotic, with amnesia for the event.

† Intermezzo® (zolpidem sublingual).

‡ Ambien® (zolpidem).

§ Ambien CR® (zolpidem extended-release).

|| Edluar® (zolpidem sublingual).

¶ Zolpimist® (zolpidem oral mist).

Drug Interactions**Table 9. Drug Interactions⁷⁻²¹**

Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
Benzo-diazepines (all)	Azole antifungals	Increased and prolonged serum levels, central nervous system depression, and psychomotor impairment have been noted with certain benzodiazepines undergoing oxidative metabolism and may possibly continue for several days after stopping the azole antifungal agent. Consider administering a lower benzodiazepine dose or a benzodiazepine that undergoes glucuronidation (e.g., lorazepam, temazepam) when giving fluconazole. Use of triazolam with itraconazole or ketoconazole is contraindicated.
Benzo-diazepines (all)	Hydantoins	Serum hydantoin concentrations may be increased and phenytoin may increase the clearance of certain benzodiazepines. Hydantoin levels and effects should be monitored when the benzodiazepine dose is started or stopped.
Benzo-diazepines (all)	Protease inhibitors (amprenavir, atazanavir, darunavir, indinavir, lopinavir-ritonavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir)	Concurrent use may lead to severe sedation and respiratory depression due to inhibition of hepatic metabolism resulting in large increases in serum concentrations of benzodiazepines undergoing oxidative metabolism. Coadministration of these protease inhibitors with benzodiazepines metabolized by CYP3A4 is contraindicated.
Benzo-diazepines (all)	Rifamycins	When used with rifamycins, the pharmacologic effects of certain benzodiazepines may be decreased due to an increase in the oxidative metabolism of the benzodiazepine (CYP450). Monitor clinical response when starting or stopping rifamycins and the benzodiazepine dose may be adjusted as needed.
Estazolam, quazepam	Opioid analgesics (buprenorphine, methadone)	Increased sedation and strength of opioid effects have been observed. Patients should be advised against driving or operating machinery while taking these agents simultaneously.
Benzo-diazepines (all)	Alcohol	Increased central nervous system effects and impaired psychomotor function have been observed. Patients should be cautioned to avoid the use of alcohol and benzodiazepines concurrently. With acute ethanol ingestion, increased benzodiazepine absorption and decreased hepatic metabolism is possible.
Doxepin	Cytochrome P450 system	Doxepin is primarily metabolized by cytochrome P450 2D6 (with cytochrome P450 1A2 and cytochrome P450 3A4 as minor pathways). Inhibitors or substrates of cytochrome P450 2D6 (i.e., quinidine, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors) may increase the plasma concentration of doxepin when administered concomitantly. Individuals considered "poor metabolizers" at cytochrome P450 2D6 have higher than expected plasma concentrations of tricyclic antidepressants at usual doses. Drugs that inhibit cytochrome P450 2D6 may make normal metabolizers resemble poor

Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
		metabolizers. An individual who is stable on a given dose of tricyclic antidepressant may become abruptly toxic when given one of these inhibiting drugs as concomitant therapy. Inhibitors of cytochrome P450 2D6 include some that are not metabolized by the enzyme (quinidine; cimetidine) and many that are substrates for P450 2D6 (many other antidepressants, phenothiazines, and the Type 1C antiarrhythmics propafenone and flecainide). All selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors inhibit cytochrome P450 2D6; however, they may vary in the extent of inhibition.
Doxepin	Drugs that prolong the QT interval (e.g., antiarrhythmic agents, arsenic trioxide, chlorpromazine, cisapride, dolasetron, droperidol, mefloquine, mesoridazine, moxifloxacin, pentamidine, pimozide, tacrolimus, thioridazine, and ziprasidone)	An additive effect of doxepin with other drugs that prolong the QT interval cannot be excluded.
Doxepin	Monoamine oxidase inhibitors	Serious side effects and even death have been reported following the concomitant use with monoamine oxidase inhibitors.
Doxepin	Alcohol	Alcohol ingestion may increase the danger inherent in any intentional or unintentional doxepin over dosage; this is of particular importance in patients with excessive alcohol use.
Doxepin	Cimetidine	Cimetidine has been reported to produce clinically significant fluctuations in steady-state serum concentrations of various tricyclic antidepressants. Serious anticholinergic symptoms have been associated with elevations in the serum levels of tricyclic antidepressants when cimetidine therapy is initiated. Additionally, higher than expected tricyclic antidepressant levels have been observed when treatment is initiated in patients already taking cimetidine. In patients well controlled on tricyclic antidepressant therapy receiving concurrent cimetidine therapy, discontinuation of cimetidine has been reported to decrease established steady-state serum levels of the tricyclic antidepressant and compromise their therapeutic effects.
Doxepin	Tolazamide	A case of severe hypoglycemia has been reported in a type 2 diabetes patient maintained on tolazamide (1 g/day) 11 days after the addition of doxepin (75 mg/day).
Eszopiclone	Central nervous system depressants	An additive effect on psychomotor performance was seen with coadministration of eszopiclone and ethanol 0.7 g/kg for up to four hours after ethanol administration.
Eszopiclone	Cytochrome P450 3A4 inducers (e.g., rifampin)	Coadministration resulted in an 80% reduction in racemic zopiclone exposure; a similar effect would be expected with eszopiclone.

Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
Eszopiclone	Lorazepam	Eszopiclone and lorazepam decrease each other's maximum concentration by 22%.
Ramelteon	Azole antifungals (e.g., fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole)	When coadministered with ketoconazole, the area under the curve for ramelteon increase by approximately 84% and the maximum concentration of ramelteon increased by 36%. When coadministered with fluconazole, the area under the curve and maximum concentration of ramelteon both increased by about 150%. Similar increases were seen in metabolite M-II exposure.
Ramelteon	Cytochrome P450 system	Ramelteon has a highly variable intersubject pharmacokinetic profile. Cytochrome P450 1A2 is the major isozyme involved in the metabolism; however, the cytochrome P450 2C and 3A4 isozymes are also involved to a lesser extent.
Ramelteon	Alcohol	Coadministration may produce additive central nervous system effects.
Ramelteon	Fluvoxamine	Coadministration resulted in a 190-fold increase in the area under the curve for ramelteon and a 70-fold increase in the maximum concentration for ramelteon.
Ramelteon	Rifampin	Coadministration resulted in an approximate 80-fold decrease in the area under the curve and maximum concentration of ramelteon and metabolite M-II. Ramelteon efficacy may be reduced when coadministered with a strong cytochrome P450 enzyme inducer.
Triazolam	Macrolides and related antibiotics (clarithromycin, erythromycin, telithromycin)	Increased central nervous system depression and prolonged sedation have been noted with concomitant use of certain benzodiazepines and macrolide related agents. Consider benzodiazepines undergoing conjugative metabolism that are unlikely to interact (e.g., lorazepam, oxazepam, temazepam).
Triazolam	Nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase (NNRT) inhibitors (delavirdine, efavirenz)	NNRT inhibitors may inhibit the hepatic metabolism (CYP3A4) of the benzodiazepine. The pharmacologic effects of certain benzodiazepines may be increased and the duration prolonged, leading to protracted sedation and respiratory depression. NNRT inhibitors should not used simultaneously with certain benzodiazepines.
Zaleplon	Cytochrome P450 3A4 inducers (e.g., rifampin)	Coadministration resulted in an approximate 80% reduction in the maximum concentration and area under the curve of zaleplon, which may lead to ineffectiveness.
Zaleplon	Alcohol	Zaleplon may potentiate the central nervous system-impairing effects of alcohol (ethanol 0.75 g/kg) for one hour after alcohol administration.
Zaleplon	Cimetidine	Coadministration resulted in an 85% increase in both the maximum concentration and area under the curve of zaleplon.
Zaleplon	Diphenhydramine	Due to the central nervous system effects with each drug, an additive pharmacodynamic effect is possible.
Zaleplon	Imipramine	Coadministration may produce additive effects on decreased alertness and impaired psychomotor performance for two to four hours after administration.
Zaleplon	Thioridazine	Coadministration may produce additive effects on

Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
		decreased alertness and impaired psychomotor performance for two to four hours after administration.
Zolpidem	Azole antifungals (e.g., fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole)	Plasma concentrations and therapeutic effects of zolpidem may be increased.
Zolpidem	Central nervous system depressants (e.g., alcohol)	May enhance the central nervous system depressant effects of zolpidem. An additive effect on psychomotor performance between alcohol and zolpidem has been demonstrated.
Zolpidem	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	The onset of action of zolpidem may be shortened and the effect increased. Coadministration with sertraline has been shown to produce a 43% increase in zolpidem maximum concentration and a 53% decrease in zolpidem time to maximum concentration.
Zolpidem	Amiodarone	The risk of life-threatening cardiac arrhythmias, including torsades de pointes, may be increased.
Zolpidem	Chlorpromazine	Coadministration may produce an additive effect of decreased alertness and psychomotor performance.
Zolpidem	Flumazenil	The effects of zolpidem may be reversed by flumazenil.
Zolpidem	Imipramine	Coadministration produced a 20% decrease in peak levels of imipramine; however, an additive effect of decreased alertness was seen.
Zolpidem	Rifamycins	Plasma concentrations and therapeutic effects of zolpidem may be decreased.
Zolpidem	Ritonavir	Coadministration may cause severe sedation and respiratory depression.

Dosage and Administration

Table 10. Dosing and Administration⁷⁻²¹

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
Doxepin	<u>Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep maintenance:</u> Tablet: 6 mg once daily	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Tablet: 3 mg 6 mg
Estazolam	<u>Short-term treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning awakenings:</u> Tablet: initial, 1 mg orally at bedtime; maintenance, 1 to 2 mg orally at bedtime	Safety and efficacy in patients <18 years old have not been established.	Tablet: 1 mg 2 mg
Eszopiclone	<u>Treatment of insomnia:</u> Tablet: 6 mg once daily	Safety and efficacy in patients <18 years old have not been established.	Tablet: 1 mg 2 mg 3 mg
Flurazepam	<u>Short-term treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning</u>	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Capsule: 15 mg 30 mg

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	<u>awakenings:</u> Capsule: 30 mg orally at bedtime; however, 15 mg orally at bedtime may suffice in some patients		
Quazepam	<u>Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, frequent nocturnal awakenings, and/or early morning awakenings:</u> Tablet: 15 mg orally at bedtime; maintenance, 7.5 mg to 15 mg orally at bedtime	Safety and efficacy in patients <18 years old have not been established.	Tablet: 15 mg
Ramelteon	<u>Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulty with sleep onset:</u> Tablet: 2 mg immediately before bedtime	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Tablet: 8 mg
Temazepam	<u>Short-term treatment of insomnia:</u> Capsule: initial, 15 mg orally at bedtime; maintenance, 7.5 mg to 30 mg orally at bedtime	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Capsule: 7.5 mg 15 mg 22.5 mg 30 mg
Triazolam	<u>Short-term treatment of insomnia:</u> Tablet: initial, 0.25 mg orally at bedtime; maximum: 0.5 mg/dose	Safety and efficacy in patients <18 years old have not been established.	Tablet: 0.125 mg 0.25 mg
Zaleplon	<u>Short-term treatment of insomnia:</u> Capsule: 10 mg	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Capsule: 5 mg 10 mg
Zolpidem	<u>Short-term treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep initiation:</u> Immediate release tablet: 10 mg once daily Oral mist: 10 mg once daily Sublingual tablet: 10 mg once daily <u>Treatment of insomnia characterized by difficulties with sleep onset and/or sleep maintenance:</u> Extended release tablet: 12.5 mg once daily <u>Treatment of insomnia when a middle-of-the-night awakening is followed by difficulty returning to sleep:</u> Sublingual tablet†: 1.75 mg (women), 3.5 mg (men) once/night	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Extended-release tablet: 6.25 mg 12.5 mg Immediate-release tablet: 5 mg 10 mg Sublingual tablet: 5 mg* 10 mg* 1.75 mg† 3.5 mg† Oral mist: 5 mg/actuation

* Edluar® (zolpidem sublingual).

† Intermezzo® (zolpidem sublingual).

Clinical Guidelines

Table 11. Clinical Guidelines

Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
<p>American Academy of Sleep Medicine: Clinical Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Insomnia in Adults (2008)¹</p>	<p><u>General Principles</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment is recommended when chronic insomnia has significant negative impact on sleep quality, health, comorbid conditions, or daytime function. • Comorbid conditions (e.g. major depression, chronic pain) should be addressed and treated. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Behavior and medication that may impair sleep should be identified and modified, when possible (e.g. modifying inappropriate caffeine and alcohol intake as well as and self-medication). • The primary treatment goals are to improve sleep quality/quantity and to improve insomnia related daytime impairments. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Other goals include improved insomnia symptoms so that sleep onset latency is less than 30 minutes, wake time after sleep onset is less than 30 minutes, awakenings after sleep onset are decreased, or total sleep time is at least six hours with a sleep efficiency of at least 80 to 85%. • Short-term hypnotic treatment should be supplemented with behavioral and cognitive therapies when possible. • When pharmacotherapy is utilized, the choice of a specific pharmacological agent should be directed by symptom pattern, treatment goals, past treatment responses, patient preference, availability of other treatments, comorbid conditions, contraindications, concurrent medication interactions, and side effects. • For patients with primary insomnia, when pharmacologic treatment is utilized alone or in combination therapy, the recommended sequence of medication trials is as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Short-intermediate acting benzodiazepine receptor agonists or ramelteon: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § No specific agent is preferable to the others. Each has been shown to have positive effects on sleep latency, total sleep time, and wake after sleep onset in placebo-controlled trials. § Individual patients may respond differentially to medications within this class. Symptom pattern, past response and patient preference should be considered in selecting a specific agent. § Zaleplon and ramelteon have very short half-lives and are likely to reduce sleep latency but have little effect on waking after sleep onset. They are unlikely to result in residual sedation. § Eszopiclone and temazepam have relatively longer half-lives, are more likely to improve sleep maintenance, and are more likely to produce residual sedation (residual activity is limited to a minority of patients). § Triazolam has been associated with rebound anxiety and is not considered a first-line hypnotic. § Patients who prefer not to use a Drug Enforcement Agency-scheduled drug, and patients with a history

Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	<p>of substance use disorders, may be candidates for ramelteon, particularly if the complaint is that of sleep initiation difficulty.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Alternate short-intermediate acting benzodiazepine receptor agonists or ramelteon: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § If a patient does not respond to the initial agent, a different agent within the same class is appropriate. § Selection of the alternative drug should be based on the patient's response to the first. For a patient who continues to complain of wake after sleep onset might be prescribed a drug with a longer half-life; a patient who complains of residual sedation might be prescribed a shorter-acting drug. § Flurazepam is rarely used because of its extended half-life. ○ Sedating low-dose antidepressants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § May be used next when accompanied with comorbid depression or treatment failures. § Examples of these include trazodone, amitriptyline, doxepin, and mirtazapine. No specific agent is recommended as preferable to the others in this group. § Treatment history, coexisting medical conditions, side effects, and pharmacokinetics may guide the selection of a specific agent. ○ Combined benzodiazepine receptor agonists or ramelteon and sedating antidepressants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § A combination of medications from two different classes may improve efficacy by targeting multiple sleep-wake mechanisms while minimizing the toxicity that could occur with higher doses of a single agent. ○ Other sedating agents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § Examples include anti-epilepsy medications (gabapentin, tiagabine) and atypical antipsychotics (quetiapine and olanzapine). ○ Prescription drugs – not recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § Older approved drugs for insomnia including barbiturates, barbiturate-type drugs and chloral hydrate are not recommended for the treatment of insomnia. ○ Over-the-counter (OTC) drugs – not recommended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § Antihistamine or antihistamine/analgesic type drugs (OTC “sleep aids”), as well as herbal and nutritional substances (e.g., valerian and melatonin), are not recommended in the treatment of chronic insomnia due to the relative lack of efficacy and safety data. <p><u>Frequency and Duration of Treatment and Follow-up</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Pharmacological treatment should be accompanied by patient education regarding treatment goals, safety concerns, potential side effects and drug interactions, other treatment modalities (cognitive and behavioral treatments), potential for dosage escalation, and rebound insomnia.

Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administration may be nightly, intermittent (e.g., three nights per week), or as needed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Efforts should be made to employ the lowest effective maintenance dosage of medication and to taper medication when conditions allow. • Patients should be followed on a regular basis, every few weeks in the initial period of treatment when possible, to assess for effectiveness, possible side effects, and the need for ongoing medication. • An initial treatment period of two to four weeks may be appropriate, followed by re-evaluation of the continued need for therapy. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Chronic hypnotic medication may be indicated for long-term use in those with severe or refractory insomnia or chronic comorbid illness. If used long-term, schedule regular follow-up visits at least every six months to monitor efficacy, tolerability, safety and periodic attempts to reduce dose and/or dosing frequency should be made. ○ Long-term prescribing should be accompanied by follow-up, ongoing assessment of effectiveness, monitoring for adverse effects, and evaluation for new onset or exacerbation of comorbid disorders.
<p>National Institutes of Health: Manifestations and Management of Chronic Insomnia in Adults (2005)²</p>	<p><u>Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Behavioral methods include relaxation training, stimulus control, and sleep restriction. • Cognitive therapy methods have been added to behavioral methods and include cognitive restructuring, in which anxiety-producing beliefs and erroneous beliefs about sleep and sleep loss are specifically targeted. • The combination of cognitive methods and behavioral methods has been found to be as effective as prescription medications for short-term treatment of chronic insomnia. The beneficial effects of cognitive methods and behavioral methods may last well beyond the termination of active treatment. <p><u>Benzodiazepine Receptor Agonists</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benzodiazepine receptor agonists include benzodiazepines (e.g., estazolam, flurazepam, quazepam, temazepam and triazolam) and newer agents that act at benzodiazepine receptors but have a nonbenzodiazepine structure (e.g., eszopiclone, zaleplon and zolpidem). • Results from moderate to high-quality studies indicate that these eight agents are effective in the short-term management of insomnia. With the exception of eszopiclone, the benefits of these agents for long-term use have not been studied using randomized, controlled trials. • The frequency and severity of the adverse effects are much lower for the newer benzodiazepine receptor agonists, most likely because these agents have shorter half-lives. • In the short-term, abuse of the benzodiazepine receptor agonists is not a major problem, but problems associated with their long-term use require further study. • Barbiturates (e.g., phenobarbital) have been used in the treatment of insomnia, however, short-term and long-term studies are lacking; such drugs bear significant risks and are not recommended in the treatment of chronic insomnia.

Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	<p><u>Other Prescription Medications</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other sedating medications have been used in the treatment of insomnia. These include barbiturates and antipsychotics. Studies demonstrating the usefulness of these medications for either short- or long-term management of insomnia are lacking. All of these agents have significant risks. Thus, their use in the treatment of chronic insomnia cannot be recommended. <p><u>Antidepressants</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Antidepressants (especially trazodone) are often prescribed for insomnia, although they are not Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for this purpose. In short-term use, trazodone and doxepin have been shown to have some beneficial effects, but there are no studies on long-term use. Data on other antidepressants (e.g., amitriptyline and mirtazapine) in individuals with chronic insomnia are lacking. These guidelines were published prior to the FDA approval of ramelteon. <p><u>Nonprescription Medications</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Antihistamines are the most commonly used OTC treatments for chronic insomnia, but there is no systematic evidence for efficacy and there are significant concerns about risks of these medications. Adverse effects include residual daytime sedation, diminished cognitive function, and delirium, the latter being of particular concern in the elderly. Other adverse effects include dry mouth, blurred vision, urinary retention, constipation, and risk of increased intraocular pressure in individuals with narrow angle glaucoma.

Conclusions

Agents from several drug classes are available for the treatment of insomnia including, tricyclic antidepressants, melatonin receptor agonists, benzodiazepines and nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics. The benzodiazepines are generally classified based on their duration of action. Triazolam (Halcion[®]) has a short duration of action, while estazolam (ProSom[®]) and temazepam (Restoril[®]) are intermediate-acting agents. Flurazepam (Dalmane[®]) and quazepam (Doral[®]) are generally considered long-acting benzodiazepines.⁹⁻¹³ The nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics have specific activity at the γ -aminobutyric acid subtype A receptors and do not have anxiolytic or anticonvulsant effects.⁴ Zaleplon (Sonata[®]) is a short-acting agent and is effective for patients with difficulty falling asleep.¹⁴ Zolpidem is available in as an immediate-release tablet (Ambien[®]), oral spray (Zolpimist[®]), sublingual tablet (Edluar[®] and Intermezzo[®]) and extended-release tablet (Ambien CR[®]). The sublingual tablet (Intermezzo[®]) is the only zolpidem formulation that is approved for the treatment of insomnia due to middle-of-the-night awakenings.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Of the nonbenzodiazepine sedative hypnotics, eszopiclone (Lunesta[®]) has the longest half-life and is effective in treating sleep onset insomnia and sleep maintenance insomnia.²⁰ Doxepin (Silenor[®]), an antidepressant, is approved for the treatment of insomnia and likely causes sedation through antagonism of the histamine-1 receptor.⁷ Ramelteon (Rozerem[®]) is a melatonin agonist with a higher affinity for the melatonin receptor compared to endogenous melatonin. The duration of effect for ramelteon is up to five hours.⁸ Currently, estazolam, flurazepam, temazepam, triazolam, zaleplon and zolpidem (immediate-release and extended-release tablets) are available generically.

In general, study results consistently demonstrate that these agents are more effective compared to placebo, for patients experiencing insomnia.^{22-66,68-70,72-74} Studies suggest that the comparative efficacy of the agents included within this review may vary, with no consistently superior intervention identified; however, some studies indicate that zaleplon may result in less residual effects and rebound insomnia when compared to zolpidem.^{55,56,58} Several agents have demonstrated efficacy in the presence of various

comorbidities or specific subpopulations including elderly; peri- and postmenopausal women; patients with depression, generalized anxiety disorder, Parkinson disease, substance abuse and posttraumatic stress disorder.^{27,30,31,38,52-54,62,63} Furthermore, efficacy of the nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics has been demonstrated to be sustained for up to one year. eszopiclone and zolpidem extended-release have demonstrated sustained efficacy through six months while ramelteon and zolpidem have demonstrated sustained efficacy over the course of a year.^{28,35,36,53,61,68}

Currently, guidelines do not recommend one sedative hypnotic over another. All agents have been shown to result in positive effects on sleep latency, total sleep time and wake time after sleep onset. Selection of an agent should take into consideration the patient's specific symptom pattern, patient preferences, any comorbid disease states and concurrent medications, as well as the individual side effect profile for each option. Zaleplon and ramelteon have short half-lives, work well to reduce sleep latency and are unlikely to result in residual sedation; however, they have little effect on waking after sleep onset. Eszopiclone and temazepam have longer half-lives, are more likely to improve sleep maintenance, and are more likely to produce residual sedation. Triazolam has been associated with rebound anxiety and is not considered a first-line treatment. The use of doxepin for insomnia in the absence of co-morbid depression is not addressed in clinical guidelines, as the low-dose formulation was not available when these guidelines were published. Depending on the patient's specific sleep complaint of sleep initiation or sleep maintenance, consideration should be given to the pharmacokinetic parameters of the available hypnotics. Agents with a longer half-life may be preferred in those with sleep maintenance issues, while agents with a shorter time to maximum concentration may be preferred in patients with sleep initiation complaints. If a patient does not respond to the initial agent, a different agent within the same class is appropriate after evaluating the patient's response to the first agent.¹

References

1. Schutte-Rodin S, Broch L, Buysse D, et al. Clinical guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic insomnia in adults. American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM). *J Clin Sleep Med* 2008;4:487-504.
2. Bonnet MH, Arand DL. Overview of insomnia. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2012 [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do>.
3. NIH state-of-the-science conference statement on manifestations and management of chronic insomnia in adults. [internet] NIH Consens Sci Statements. 2005 [cited 2012 Sep 2] Jun 13-15; 22(2) 1-30.
4. Bonnet MH, Arand DL. Treatment of insomnia. In: Basow DS (Ed). UpToDate [database on the internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate; 2012 [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://www.utdol.com/utd/index.do>.
5. Dopp JM. Chapter 81. Sleep Disorders. In: Talbert RL, DiPiro JT, Matzke GR, Posey LM, Wells BG, Yee GC, eds. *Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic Approach*. 8th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2011. <http://www.accesspharmacy.com.ezproxy.mcphs.edu/content.aspx?aID=7990389>. Accessed September 3, 2012.
6. DRUGS@FDA.com [database on the internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm>.
7. Silenor[®] [package insert]. San Diego (CA): Somaxon Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2010 Mar.
8. Rozerem[®] [package insert]. Deerfield (IL): Takeda Pharmaceuticals America Inc.; 2010 Nov.
9. Prosom[®] [package insert]. North Chicago (IL): Abbott Laboratories; 2006 Jun.
10. Flurazepam[®] [package insert]. Eatontown (NJ): West-ward Pharmaceutical Corp.; 2010 Dec.
11. Doral[®] [package insert]. Somerset (NJ): Questcor Pharmaceuticals; 2011 Aug.
12. Temazepam[®] [package insert]. Princeton (NJ): Sandoz Inc.; 2010 Aug.
13. Triazolam[®] [package insert]. Peapack (NJ): Greenstone LLC; 2008 Mar.
14. Sonata[®] [package insert]. Bristol (TN): King Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2011 Aug.
15. Ambien[®] [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Sanofi-Aventis U.S LLC; 2012 May.
16. Ambien CR[®] [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Sanofi-Aventis U.S LLC; 2012 May.
17. Edluar[®] [package insert]. Somerset (NJ): Meda Pharmaceuticals Inc; 2010 Oct.
18. Intermezzo[®] [package insert]. Stamford (CT): Purdue Pharma L.P.; 2012 Jul.
19. Zolpimist[®] [package insert]. Richmond (VA): ECR Pharmaceuticals; 2010 Sep.
20. Lunesta[®] [package insert]. Marlborough (MA): Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc.; 2010 Nov.
21. Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2012 [cited 2012 Sep 2]. Available from: <http://online.factsandcomparisons.com>.
22. Lankford A, Rogowski R, Essink B, Ludington E, Durrence HH, Roth T. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 6 mg in a four-week outpatient trial of elderly adults with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2012;13:133-8.
23. Scharf M, Rogowski R, Hull S, Cohn M, Mayleben D, Feldman N, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 1, 3, and 6 mg in elderly patients with primary insomnia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2008;69:1157-64.
24. Krystal AD, Lankford A, Durrence HH, Ludington E, Jochelson P, Rogowski R, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 3 and 6 mg in a 35-day sleep laboratory trial in adults with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2011;34(10):1433-42.
25. Roth T, Durrence H, Jochelson P, Peterson G, Ludington E, Rogowski R, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 6 mg in a model of transient insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2010;11:843-7.
26. Krystal AD, Durrence HH, Scharf M, Jochelson P, Rogowski R, Ludington E, et al. Efficacy and safety of doxepin 1 and 3 mg in a 12-week sleep laboratory and outpatient trial of elderly subjects with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2010;33(11):1553-61.
27. McCall WV, Blocker JN, D'Agostino R, Kimball J, Boggs N, Lasater B, et al. Treatment of insomnia in depressed insomniacs: effects on health-related quality of life, objective and self-reported sleep, and depression. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2010;6(4):322-9.
28. Zammit G, McNabb L, Caron J, Amato D, Roth T. Efficacy and safety of eszopiclone across six weeks of treatment for primary insomnia. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2004 Dec;20(12):1979-91.

29. Ancoli-Israel S, Krystal AD, McCall WV, et al. A 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study evaluating the effect of eszopiclone 2 mg on sleep/wake function in older adults with primary and comorbid insomnia. *Sleep*. 2010;33:225-34.
30. Menza M, Dobkin RD, Marin H, et al. Treatment of insomnia in Parkinson's disease: a controlled trial of eszopiclone and placebo. *Mov Disord*. 2010;25:1708-14.
31. Pollack MH, Hoge EA, Worthington JJ, et al. Eszopiclone for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder and associated insomnia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72:892-7.
32. Erman MK, Zammit G, Rubens R, et al. A polysomnographic placebo-controlled evaluation of the efficacy and safety of eszopiclone relative to placebo and zolpidem in the treatment of primary insomnia. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2008;4:229-34.
33. Joffe H, Petrillo L, Viguera A, et al. Eszopiclone improves insomnia and depressive and anxious symptoms in perimenopausal and postmenopausal women with hot flashes: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled crossover trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;202:171.e1-11.
34. Scharf M, Erman M, Rosengerg R, Seiden D, McCall WV, Amato D, Wessel TC. A two-week efficacy and safety study of eszopiclone in elderly patients with primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2005;28(6):720-7.
35. Krystal AD, Walsh JK, Laska E, Caron J, Amato DA, Wessel TC, Roth T. Sustained efficacy of eszopiclone over six months of nightly treatment: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in adults with chronic insomnia. *Sleep*. 2003 Nov 1;26(7):793-9.
36. Walsh JK, Krystal AD, Amato DA, Rubens R, Caron J, Wessel TC, Schaefer K, Roach J, Wallenstein F, Roth T. Nightly treatment of primary insomnia with eszopiclone for six months: effect on sleep, quality of life, and work limitations. *Sleep*. 2007;30(8):959-68.
37. Rosenberg R, Caron J, Roth T, Amato D. An assessment of the efficacy and safety of eszopiclone in the treatment of transient insomnia in healthy adults. *Sleep Med*. 2005;6:15-22.
38. Johnson MW, Suess PE, Griffiths RR. Ramelteon: a novel hypnotic lacking abuse liability and sedative adverse effects. *Arch Gen Psych*. 2006 Oct;63:1149-57.
39. Roth T, Stubbs C, Walsh JK. Ramelteon (TAK-375), a selective MT1/MT2-receptor agonist, reduces latency to persistent sleep in a model of transient insomnia related to a novel sleep environment. *Sleep*. 2005;28(3):303-7.
40. Mayer G, Wang-Weigand S, Roth-Schechter B, et al. Efficacy and safety of six-month nightly ramelteon administration in adults with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep*. 2009;32:351-60.
41. Uchiyama M, Hamamura M, Kuwano T, et al. Evaluation of subjective efficacy and safety of ramelteon in Japanese subjects with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2011;12:119-26.
42. Uchiyama M, Hamamura M, Kuwano T, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of ramelteon in Japanese patients with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2011;12:127-33.
43. Gooneratne NS, Gehrman P, Gurubhagavatula I, et al. Effectiveness of ramelteon for insomnia symptoms in older adults with obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized placebo-controlled pilot study. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2010;6:572-80.
44. Uchimura N, Ogawa A, Hamamura M, Hashimoto T, Nagata H, Uchiyama M. Efficacy and safety of ramelteon in Japanese adults with chronic insomnia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study [abstract]. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2011;11(2):215-24.
45. Kohsaka M, Kanemura T, Taniguchi M, Kuwahara H, Mikami A, Kamikawa K, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of ramelteon in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study in Japanese patients with chronic primary insomnia [abstract]. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2011;11(10):1389-97.
46. Wang-Weigand S, Watissee M, Roth T. Use of a post-sleep questionnaire-interactive voice response system (PSQ-IVRS) to evaluate the subjective sleep effects of ramelteon in adults with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2011;12:920-3.
47. Roth T, Seiden D, Sainati S, Wang-Weigand S, Zhang J, Zee P. Effects of ramelteon on patient-reported sleep latency in older adults with chronic insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2006 Jun;7(4):312-8.
48. Erman M, Seiden D, Zammit G, Sainati S, Zhang J. An efficacy, safety, and dose response study of ramelteon in patients with chronic primary insomnia. *Sleep Med*. 2006;7:17-24.
49. Wang-Weigand S, McCue M, Ogrinc F, Mini L. Effects of ramelteon 8 mg on objective sleep latency in adults with chronic insomnia on nights 1 and 2: pooled analysis. *Curr Med Res and Opin*. 2009;25(5):1209-13.

50. Zammit G, Schwartz H, Roth T, Wang-Weigand S, Sainati S, Zhang J. The effects of ramelteon in a first-night model of transient insomnia. *Sleep Medicine*. 2009;10:55-9.
51. Zammit G, Wang-Weigand S, Rosenthal M, Peng X. Effect of ramelteon on middle of the night balance in older adults with chronic insomnia. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2009;5(1):34-40.
52. Dobkin RD, Menza M, Bienfait KL, Allen LA, Marin H, Gara MA. Ramelteon for the treatment of insomnia in menopausal women. *Menopause Int*. 2009;15(1):13-8.
53. Richardson GS, Zammit G, Wang-Weigand S, Zhang J. Safety and subjective sleep effects of ramelteon administration in adults and older adults with chronic primary insomnia: a one-year, open-label study. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2009;70(4):467-76.
54. Gross PK, Nourse R, Wasser TE. Ramelteon for insomnia symptoms in a community sample of adults with generalized anxiety disorder: an open label study. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2009;5(1):28-33.
55. Huang YS, Hsu SC, Liu SI, et al. A double-blind, randomized, comparative study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of zaleplon vs zolpidem in shortening sleep latency in primary insomnia. *Chang Gung Med J*. 2011;34:50-6.
56. Danjou P, Paty I, Fruncillo R, Worthington P, Unruh M, Cevallos W, Martin P. A comparison of the residual effects of zaleplon and zolpidem following administration five to two hours before awakening. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 1999;48:367-74.
57. Verster JC, Volkerts ER, Schreuder AHCM, Eijken EJE, van Heuckelum JHG, Veldhuijzen DS, et al. Residual effects of middle-of-the-night administration of zaleplon and zolpidem on driving ability, memory functions, and psychomotor performance. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2002 Dec;22(6):576-83.
58. Dundar Y, Dodd S, Strobl J, Boland A, Dickson R, Walley T. Comparative efficacy of newer hypnotic drugs for the short-term management of insomnia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp*. 2004;19:305-22.
59. Elie R, Ruther E, Farr I, Emilien G, Salinas E. Sleep latency is shortened during four weeks of treatment with zaleplon, a novel nonbenzodiazepine hypnotic. Zaleplon Clinical Study Group. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1999 Aug;60(8):536-44.
60. Hindmarch I, Legangneux E, Stanley N, Emegbo S, Dawson J. A double-blind, placebo-controlled investigation of the residual psychomotor and cognitive effects of zolpidem-MR in healthy elderly volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(5):538-45.
61. Krystal AD, Erman M, Zammit GK, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of zolpidem extended-release 12.5 mg, administered three to seven nights per week for 24 weeks, in patients with chronic primary insomnia: a six-month, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicenter study. *Sleep*. 2008;31:79-90.
62. Fava M, Asnis GM, Shirvastava RK, Lydiard B, Bastani B, Sheehan DV, et al. Improved insomnia symptoms and sleep-related next-day functioning in patients with comorbid major depressive disorder and insomnia following concomitant zolpidem extended-release 12.5 mg and escitalopram treatment: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011;72(7):914-28.
63. Fava M, Asnis GM, Shrivastava R, Lydiard B, Bastani B, Sheehan D, et al. Zolpidem extended-release improves sleep and next-day symptoms in comorbid insomnia and generalized anxiety disorder. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2009;29:222-30.
64. Erman M, Guiraud A, Joish VN, Lerner D. Zolpidem extended-release 12.5 mg associated with improvements in work performance in a six month randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Sleep*. 2008;31(10):1371-8.
65. Roth T, Hull SG, Lankford A, Rosenberg R, Scharf MB. Low-dose sublingual zolpidem tartrate is associated with dose-related improvement in sleep onset and duration in insomnia characterized by middle of the night awakenings. *Sleep*. 2008;31(9):1277-84.
66. Staner L, Eriksson M, Cornette F, et al. Sublingual zolpidem is more effective than oral zolpidem in initiating early onset of sleep in the post-nap model of transient insomnia: a polysomnographic study. *Sleep Med*. 2009;10:616-20.
67. Staner C, Joly F, Jacquot N, et al. Sublingual zolpidem in early onset of sleep compared to oral zolpidem: polysomnographic study in patients with primary insomnia. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2010;26:1423-31.
68. Roehrs TA, Randall S, Harris E, et al. Twelve months of nightly zolpidem does not lead to dose escalation: a prospective placebo-controlled study. *Sleep*. 2011;34:207-12.

69. Roth T, Roehrs T, Vogel G. Zolpidem in the treatment of transient insomnia: a double-blind, randomized comparison with placebo. *Sleep*. 1995 May;18(4):246-51.
70. Scharf M, Roth T, Vogel G, Walsh J. A multicenter, placebo-controlled study evaluating zolpidem in the treatment of chronic insomnia. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1994 May;55(5):192-9.
71. Holbrook A, Crowther R, Lotter A, Cheng C, King D. Meta-analysis of benzodiazepine use in the treatment of insomnia. *CMAJ*. 2000 Jan 25;162(2):225-33.
72. Smith M, Perlis M, Park A, Smith M, Pennington J, Giles D, et al. Comparative meta-analysis of pharmacotherapy and behavior therapy for persistent insomnia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2002 Jan;159(1):5-11.
73. Nowell PD, Mazumdar S, Buysse DJ, Dew MA, Reynolds CF, Kupfer DJ. Benzodiazepines and zolpidem for chronic insomnia. A meta-analysis of treatment efficacy. *JAMA*. 1997 Dec 24/31;278(24):2170-7.
74. Buscemi N, Vandermeer B, Friesen C, Bialy L, Tubman M, Ospina M, Klassen TP, Witmans M. The efficacy and safety of drug treatments for chronic insomnia in adults: a meta-analysis of RCTs. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007 Sep;22(9):1335-50.